

1
2
3
4
5
6



7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

ZA-SAHPRA CTD eSubmission Specification
August 2024, v3.1

19	Table of Contents		
20			
21	1.	Introduction.....	4
22	1.1.	Background	5
23	1.2.	Scope	5
24	1.3.	Comment about ICH eCTD version 3.2.2 and 4.0	5
25	1.4.	Technical Requirements	5
26	1.5.	Terminology	5
27	2.	Business Protocol: Preparing your CTD eSubmission Application.....	6
28	2.1.	The SAHPRA Application Portal	6
29	2.2.	Initial Sequence	6
30	2.3.	Preparing the CTD eSubmission Letter of Application.....	6
31	2.4.	Preparing the Note to Evaluator.....	7
32	2.5.	CTD eSubmission Application Folder Naming Convention.....	7
33	2.6.	Validating the eSubmission Sequence(s).....	7
34	2.7.	Submitting your CTD eSubmission Sequence(s)	7
35	3.	South African Regional Considerations.....	8
36	3.1.	File Formats.....	8
37	3.2.	Electronic Signatures	8
38	3.3.	Document Navigation Aids.....	8
39	3.4.	Empty or Missing CTD Sections.....	8
40	3.5.	Study Tagging Files	8
41	3.6.	Submission of PBRER/PSUR and RMP Reports	8
42	3.7.	Updating Attribute Specific Folders	9
43	3.7.1.	Updating Folder Names based on ICH eCTD Attributes	9
44	3.7.2.	Updating the SAHPRA envelope.xml Trigger File	9
45	3.8.	Reusing Files.....	10
46	3.9.	Baseline Submissions.....	10
47	3.10.	Work Grouping.....	10
48	3.11.	Splitting the CTD eSubmission Application	11
49	3.12.	Transfer of Application	11
50	4.	South African eSubmission General Architecture	12
51	4.1.	eSubmission Folders	12
52	4.2.	eSubmission File Names	13
53	4.3.	eSubmission envelope.xml Trigger File.....	14
54	4.4.	eSubmission Headings	15
55	4.5.	Life Cycle Operations.....	16
56	4.6.	Files and Folders	16
57	4.6.1.	File and Folder Naming Conventions.....	16
58	4.6.2.	Folder and File Name – Path Length	17
59	4.6.3.	Source Documents	17
60	5.	eCTD Preparation Tools	17

61	6. Appendix A: Best Practice File Name Variable Component	17
62	7. Appendix B: South African eCTD Granularity Annex.....	17
63	8. Change Control	18
64	9. Version History	18
65		
66		
67	Table of Tables	
68	Table 1 eCTD Implementation Phases.....	6
69	Table 2 Attribute Specific Subfolders	9
70	Table 3 Additional Heading for eSubmission 1.1 – Table of Contents	15
71	Table 4 Example Table of Life Cycle Operations.....	15
72		

73 1. Introduction

74 The CTD eSubmission Specification is a temporary solution for certain applications to be used
75 while companies have time to implement an Electronic Common Technical Document (eCTD)
76 solution. Please pay close attention to the timelines set forth in the SAHPRA [Roadmap](#).
77 Companies should not see this Specification as an alternative to eCTD long-term and not as
78 an alternative for Biological and Orthodox submissions, as they are mandatory to be submitted
79 in eCTD format. Companies should alert SAHPRA on their plans to implement eCTD.

80 eCTD is SAHPRA's preferred format as it enables a more efficient evaluation and provides a
81 means to maintain a better overview of Applications over time with the use of life cycle
82 operations which are absent from eSubmissions.

83 This Specification should be read together with the eCTD Specification because much of the
84 information in the eCTD Specification also applies to the eSubmissions when possible. Much
85 of this document will reference the eCTD Specification when appropriate.

86 This document applies to all CTD Applications not submitted in eCTD format. It is important to
87 understand that the CTD structure is flexible and can be as detailed or as simple as the type
88 of Submission requires. In some cases, content should be provided in most of the sections
89 defined in Modules 1-5. In other cases, very little content will be required in Modules 4 and 5
90 and a varying degree of detail may be required in Modules 1-3. Guidance on what content
91 should be provided for the different Submission Types is provided in the [Document Matrix](#).

92 This SAHPRA eSubmission Specification is like NeeS (Non-eCTD electronic Submission)
93 implemented in other regions – for example EU, Australia and GCC – but has some key
94 differences such as:

- 95 • There are no requirements for PDF TOCs. SAHPRA will be using a utility that will
96 automatically build an XML backbone based on folder and file names. No files submitted
97 by the applicants will be altered during the creation of the backbone which will act as an
98 electronic navigation and TOC for each Sequence submitted.
- 99 • There is a requirement to provide an envelope.xml trigger file along with the sequence.
100 Please refer to the section on the SAHPRA envelope.xml trigger file.

101

102 This document contains:

- 103 • guidance on the structure of a South African CTD eSubmission Application; and
- 104 • guidance on creating and validating your South African CTD eSubmission Sequences.

105

106 Version 3.0 of the Specifications should be read in combination with:

- 107 • [2.22 ZA-SAHPRRA eCTD Validation Criteria](#)
- 108 • [2.28 ZA-SAHPRRA eCTD Q&A Document](#)
- 109 • [2.26 ZA-SAHPRRA eCTD Roadmap](#)
- 110 • [2.21 ZA-SAHPRRA eCTD Specification and Guidance for Module 1 and Regional](#)
111 [Information](#)
- 112 • [2.24 ZA-SAHPRRA Guidance for the Submission of the South African CTD / eCTD General](#)
113 [- Module 1 and Regional Information](#)

114

115 All documents are provided on the SAHPRA eCTD Website. [SAHPRA eCTD](https://ectd.sahpra.org.za/)
116 (<https://ectd.sahpra.org.za/>)

117 **1.1. Background**

118 The specification for the eCTD is based on content defined within the CTD issued by the ICH
119 M4 EWG. The CTD describes the organisation of modules, sections, and documents. The
120 structure and level of detail specified in the CTD have been used as the basis for defining the
121 eCTD structure and content but, where appropriate, additional details have been developed
122 within the eCTD specification.

123 The philosophy of the eCTD is to use open standards. Open standards, including proprietary
124 standards which through their widespread use can be considered de facto standards, are
125 deemed to be appropriate in general.

126 **1.2. Scope**

127 The Scope is the same as described in the [SAHPRA eCTD Specifications](#). Please refer to the
128 Specifications, same section, for more information.

129 **1.3. Comment about ICH eCTD version 3.2.2 and 4.0**

130 SAHPRA is currently using eCTD Specifications based on ICH 3.2.2 however the long-term
131 plan is to start adopting 4.0 by 2030. eCTD Solutions should therefore ideally be able to
132 support both versions long term.

133 **1.4. Technical Requirements**

134 The Technical Requirements are the same as described in the [SAHPRA eCTD Specifications](#).
135 Please refer to the Specifications, same section, for more information.

136 **1.5. Terminology**

137 The Terminology is the same as described in the [SAHPRA eCTD Specifications](#). Please refer
138 to the Specifications, same section, for more information.

139 **2. Business Protocol: Preparing your CTD eSubmission**
 140 **Application**

141 **2.1. The SAHPRA Application Portal**

142 The SAHPRA Application Portal usage is the same as described in the [SAHPRA eCTD](#)
 143 [Specifications](#). Please refer to the Specifications, same section, for more information.

144 **2.2. Initial Sequence**

145 The Initial Sequence is the same as described in the [SAHPRA eCTD Specifications](#). Please
 146 refer to the Specifications, same section, for more information.

147 **2.3. Preparing the CTD eSubmission Letter of Application**

148 All requirements for the eCTD Letter of Application apply to the eSubmission Letter of
 149 Application. In addition to the eCTD requirements, however, a statement should be added to
 150 all eSubmission Letter of Application, that updates SAHPRA on the progress with moving to
 151 eCTD and in line with the roadmap related to this product category. This statement should
 152 include the following:

- 153 • indicate the eCTD Implementation phase your company is currently in (if applicable and in
 154 line with the roadmap related to this product category) :

155 **Table 1 eCTD Implementation Phases**

Phase	Phase Title	Phase Description
Phase 0	Not Yet Started	eCTD Implementation has not yet started
Phase 1	Requirement Analysis	Understanding the Requirements
Phase 2	User Requirement Collection	Defining the Functionality Required specific to the Company and Regulatory Department
Phase 3	Solution Analysis	Looking at Solution Options, Engaging with Solution Providers, Looking at Outsourcing Options, Developing In-house
Phase 4	Solution Selection & Budgeting	Identification of Solution and Budgeting for Solution Implementation
Phase 5	Solution Implementation	Installation, Validation and Training of Selected Solution

- 156 • indicate your estimated timeline until when you will be able to begin submitting in eCTD.
- 157 • if your estimated timeline has changed to a later date than indicated in earlier Sequences,
 158 provide a brief high-level explanation why the delay has occurred.
- 159 • provide a statement acknowledging your understanding that eSubmission is not the
 160 preferred format.
- 161 • provide a statement indicating you will begin providing Sequences in eCTD format before
 162 the eSubmission end of life for your product category. The deadline date must be included
 163 in the statement.

164 *Example:*

165 *[COMPANY] is currently in phase 1 of eCTD implementation. We expect to be able to submit*
 166 *eCTD by [DATE]. We understand that eSubmission is not the preferred format and we confirm*

167 *our commitment to begin submitting in the eCTD format before the eSubmission end of life*
168 *deadline on [DATE].*

169 **2.4. Preparing the Note to Evaluator**

170 The Note to Evaluator is the same as described in the [SAHPRA eCTD Specifications](#). Please
171 refer to the Specifications, same section, for more information.

172 **2.5. CTD eSubmission Application Folder Naming Convention**

173 The Application Folder Naming Convention is the same as described in the [SAHPRA eCTD](#)
174 [Specifications](#). Please refer to the Specifications, same section, for more information.

175 **2.6. Validating the eSubmission Sequence(s)**

176 The Validation Process is the same as described in the [SAHPRA eCTD Specifications](#). Please
177 refer to the Specifications, same section, for more information.

178 There are some additional checks specific to eSubmissions. Please refer to the [SAHPRA](#)
179 [eSubmission Validation Criteria](#), for more information on eSubmission Validation which has
180 been integrated into the existing Validation Criteria.



The validation requirements of eSubmissions are almost identical to eCTD with the exception that life cycle management is not checked (because it does not exist in eSubmissions) and content re-use is not allowed.

181 **2.7. Submitting your CTD eSubmission Sequence(s)**

182 The process for Submitting your eSubmission Sequences is the same as described in the
183 [SAHPRA eCTD Specifications](#) for eCTDs. Please refer to the Specifications, same section,
184 for more information.

185 **3. South African Regional Considerations**

186 This section includes additional points to consider when compiling your CTD eSubmission
187 Sequence to ensure a high-quality Application and an efficient evaluation process.

188 **3.1. File Formats**

189 The File Formats, Validated PDF Requirements, General Source File Requirements for the
190 South African Module 1 and the ICH Modules 2-5 are the same as described in the [SAHPRA](#)
191 [eCTD Specifications](#) with the exception that Study Tagging Files (STFs) are not allowed in
192 eSubmissions. Please refer to the Specifications, same sections, for more information.

193 **3.2. Electronic Signatures**

194 The handling of Electronic Signatures is the same as described in the [SAHPRA eCTD](#)
195 [Specifications](#). Please refer to the Specifications, same section, for more information.

196 **3.3. Document Navigation Aids**

197 The requirements for Document Navigation Aids are the same as described in the [SAHPRA](#)
198 [eCTD Specifications](#). Please refer to the Specifications, same sections, for more information.

199 **3.4. Empty or Missing CTD Sections**

200 The handling of Empty or Missing CTD Sections is the same as described in the [SAHPRA](#)
201 [eCTD Specifications](#). Please refer to the Specifications, same sections, for more information.

202 **3.5. Study Tagging Files**

203 Study Tagging Files are a product of eCTD Applications and cannot be provided in an
204 eSubmission. Only the content defined in the [ICH E3 Structure and Content of Clinical Study](#)
205 [Reports](#) should be included when appropriate. Case Report Forms and Individual Patient
206 Listings should be provided in the CTD section 5.3.7 when appropriate.

207 **3.6. Submission of PBRER/PSUR and RMP Reports**

208 Periodic benefit-risk evaluation reports (PBRER) or periodic safety update reports (PSUR) and
209 other risk management plan (RMP) reports (e.g., PV-related safety studies, etc.) should be
210 provided in 5.3.6 using additional folders.

211 For guidance on how best to title the folders, please see examples below.

212 *Examples of Folders:*

213 *PSUR 2024-01-30 to 2024-06-30*

214 *PBRER 2024-01-30 to 2024-06-30*

215 *RMP Report 2024-06-30*

216 3.7. Updating Attribute Specific Folders

217 3.7.1. Updating Folder Names based on ICH eCTD Attributes

218 Updating Folder Names based on ICH eCTD Attributes

219 The following sections in the CTD structure have a specified folder structure in the
 220 eSubmission file and folder setup.

221 Table 2 Attribute Specific Subfolders

Section	Section Title	Attribute Specific Subfolders
3.2.S	Drug Substance	Substance-Manufacturer
3.2.P	Drug Product	Product-Dosage-Manufacturer
3.2.P.4	Control of Excipients	Excipient
3.2.A.3	Excipients	Excipient
5.3	All Clinical Study Reports	Study ID-Study Description
5.3.5	Reports of Efficacy and Safety Studies	Indication

222

223 To ensure consistency between the Sequences, the attributes specific subfolders should not
 224 be altered over time, as these changes can lead to complexity in the evaluation process.

225 For attributes where changes are more likely to occur – for example, manufacturer in 2.3.P /
 226 3.2.P, a generic variable can be placed as folder name e.g., "mnf" and the manufacturer(s)
 227 represented by the variable can be declared and maintained in the Note to Evaluator. We
 228 recommend that you do not include the name of manufacturers into the folder names for the
 229 "P" section.

230 Where Multiple P sections are provided due to a diluent, etc., "MNF1" and "MNF2" could be
 231 used even if in the beginning both components are the same manufacturer. This will allow the
 232 Manufacturer for each component to be managed independently.



A Warning will result in the validation report if folders are introduced that are not unique in later life cycle Sequences. This could lead to rejection of the eSubmission Sequence if the need of unique folder is not substantiated by the Submission Type.



Keep in mind the restrictions on folder length (64 characters) and total path length (180 characters) when creating the subfolders. Values should be abbreviated. They need to be short, precise, and distinguishing. Folder and path lengths are validated.

233 3.7.2. Updating the SAHPRA envelope.xml Trigger File

234 The Trigger File will be created automatically based on the information provided in the Portal.
 235 Information used in the Trigger File can be updated in the Portal, it is allowed to update during
 236 the life cycle as is necessary to reflect changes in the metadata - for example, changing,
 237 adding and removing product names.

238 **3.8. Reusing Files**

239 File reuse is not allowed in eSubmissions. Files should be provided in all sections where they
240 would be referenced. A detailed listing of all files that appear multiple time in different locations
241 in the eSubmission should be included in the Note to Evaluator. In addition, an entry in the
242 Electronic Declaration Document should be added that will indicate that all copies of the
243 content provided in multiple locations are identical.



The inability to reuse content reduces the efficiency of the evaluation and is one of the reasons why eCTDs are the preferred format.

244 **3.9. Baseline Submissions**

245 Baseline Submissions should contain all application content previously evaluated and
246 approved.

247 Baseline Submissions should be provided when the product is already registered but was
248 approved using a format prior to the introduction of eSubmission:

- 249 • Paper
- 250 • Other Electronic Files (e.g., unstructured documents provided for minor variations)

251

252 Baseline Submissions are the same as described in the [SAHPRA eCTD Specifications](#).
253 Please refer to the Specifications, same sections, for more information.



Note that if you have provided previous sequences in the former eSubmission NeeS format, you can continue with the life cycle without providing an additional baseline if a complete baseline has already been provided in the former format. New sequences should be valid with new 3.0 specifications.

254 **3.10. Work Grouping**

255 Work Grouping is not allowed for eSubmissions. It is expected that a separate Sequence will
256 be submitted for each Submission. Combinations of multiple Submissions in a single
257 Sequence complicates the life cycle and becomes difficult to manage without the life cycle
258 operations associated with eCTD Applications.



If multiple Submissions are listed in the envelope.xml file for eSubmissions, a validation Error will occur.

259 **3.11. Splitting the CTD eSubmission Application**

260 The process for Splitting the CTD eSubmission Application is the same as described in the
261 [SAHPRA eCTD Specifications](#). Please refer to the Specifications, same sections, for more
262 information.

263 **3.12. Transfer of Application**

264 The process for the Transfer of Application is the same as described in the [SAHPRA eCTD](#)
265 [Specifications](#). Please refer to the Specifications, same sections, for more information.

266 4. South African eSubmission General Architecture

267 An eSubmission relies on a structured and predictable approach to presentation content. The
268 structured presentation enables a validation of content which increases the quality of
269 applications and saves time during the screening and evaluation process.

270 4.1. eSubmission Folders

271 The CTD structure can be presented in electronic form using the ICH recommended folders
272 and file names in the [ICH eCTD Specifications](#). Since SAHPRA does not have a
273 recommended naming convention for its eCTD Module 1, a folder naming convention has
274 been specified in the eSubmission Folder and File Names tab of the [SAHPRA eCTD Validation](#)
275 [Criteria v3.1](#) which should be followed for all eSubmissions. This is consistent with naming
276 conventions used under the 2.1 specifications where content has not been changed.

277 The folders for the SAHPRA Module 1 are based on the Heading Elements of the eCTD
278 Specification and are designed to promote a logical order for the folders when displayed in the
279 Windows Explorer®. A leading “0” has been added in front of the second level section number
280 to allow proper sorting of content in the order intended. For example, the folder for 1.2 has
281 been designated as 102 in the naming convention.

282 As an exception, the folders created for Module 5 study reports should be made up of the
283 Study ID (Study Number) along with a short, precise, and distinguishing description. This will
284 help the evaluator differentiate between the studies provided without having to open them.

285 A zip file with the empty folder structure is available on the SAHPRA eCTD Website for
286 download. This is meant to simplify the creation of the necessary folder structure so that
287 applicants can simply fill the folder structure with the necessary files.

288 The attributes specific folders listed in section **3.7.1 Updating Folder Names based on ICH**
289 **eCTD Attributes** must follow the eCTD rules on naming conventions detailed in the ICH eCTD
290 Specifications. These rules forbid:

- 291 • the use of any spaces
- 292 • the use of any special characters other than the hyphen “-“
- 293 • the use of any CAPITAL letters

294 In addition, values placed in the attribute specific folders should be abbreviated and the
295 applicant should take care to ensure that folder names do not exceed 64 characters.

296 Applicants should delete any empty folders from their Sequence, only folders with content
297 should be included.

298 Additional folder structures beyond the defined structure are not allowed. Use the variable
299 filenames to group and identify like content you want to organise together.

300 Related Information and Guidance

- 301 • SAHPRA eCTD Validation Criteria

The following will result in Validation Errors



- The use of spaces, special characters, and capital letters in folder names
- Attribute specific folders with more than 64 characters
- Empty folders
- Additional Folder Structures beyond the defined structure

302 4.2. eSubmission File Names

303 The file names used in Modules 2-5 should conform to those provided in the eSubmission
304 Folder and File Names tab of the [SAHPRA eCTD Validation Criteria](#) which are in line with
305 those recommended by ICH in the [ICH eCTD Specifications](#) with the exceptions listed below.

- 306 • **Literature References** – ICH refers to a naming convention for references placed in 3.3,
307 4.3 and 5.4 as “reference-1.pdf”, “reference-2”, etc. This is not helpful or intuitive for the
308 evaluator. Instead, the author and year should be used. References in the documents of
309 the Application to the Literature References should refer to the author and year as used in
310 the file names.
- 311 • **Study Reports** – ICH refers to a naming convention for all studies in Module 4.2 and 5.3
312 as “study-report-1”, study-report-2”, etc. This is not helpful or intuitive for the evaluator.
313 Instead, the Study ID (Study Number) should be used along with a short, precise, and
314 distinguishing description. In Module 5 study reports where a multiple file approach has
315 been taken, the description should clearly identify the study component, ideally in line with
316 the [ICH E3 Structure and Content of Clinical Study Reports guidance](#).

317

318 Since SAHPRA does not have a recommended naming convention for its eCTD Module 1, a
319 file naming convention has been specified in the eSubmission Folder and File Names tab of
320 the [SAHPRA eCTD Validation Criteria](#) which should be followed for all eSubmissions.

321 The optional PDF TOCs are indicated in [Blue](#). If you are using a system that creates
322 eSubmissions with PDF TOCs, your system likely is also able to create eCTDs. Please
323 investigate and move to the preferred eCTD format as soon as possible.



PDF TOCs are not necessary in the SAHPRA eSubmission

324 Variable Filename Components

325 Variable Filename Components in the ICH eCTD Specifications usually follow the concept of
326 fixed filename followed by a unique number starting with 1 to ensure that each filename is
327 unique. Numbered files do not provide helpful or intuitive information for the evaluator so
328 meaningful variables should be provided instead.

329 **Do not use** filenames like:

- 330 • analytical-procedure-1.pdf
- 331 • analytical-procedure-2.pdf
- 332 • analytical-procedure-3.pdf

333

334 **Do use** filenames like:

- 335 • analytical-procedure-id.pdf
- 336 • analytical-procedure-limitimpurity.pdf
- 337 • analytical-procedure-qualityimpurity.pdf

338

339 The ICH numbering system is appropriate for files provided in the eCTD format because the
340 eCTD provides an alternative Title element in the XML backbone. The Title is descriptive, and
341 it is all the evaluator sees. Evaluators do not see the actual filename in an eCTD.

342 The ICH numbering system is NOT appropriate for files provided in the eSubmission format
343 because the evaluator only sees the filename to identify the content. No alternate Title element
344 exists.



Filename variables are validated for eSubmissions and if a numbered approach is used, validation warnings will occur because this will negatively affect the evaluation efficiency.

NOTE: The numbered approach is accepted in eCTD applications where emphasis is placed on providing descriptive leaf titles.

345 **Related Information and Guidance**

- 346 • [SAHPRA eCTD Validation Criteria](#)
- 347 • [ICH E3 Structure and Content of Clinical Study Reports guidance](#)

348 **4.3. eSubmission envelope.xml Trigger File**

349 The SAHPRA Application Portal will automatically create the envelope.xml file required or
350 eSubmissions without the need for an additional software solution.

351 Refer to the [SAHPRA eCTD Specifications](#) for more information on Envelope Elements.

352 The only difference between the eCTD Envelope and the eSubmission Envelope is that the
353 eSubmission Envelope does not allow multiple Submissions to be combined in a single
354 Sequence. A separate Sequence must be submitted for each Submission in the eSubmission
355 format.



If multiple Submissions are listed in the envelope.xml file for eSubmissions, a validation Error will occur.

356 **Related Information and Guidance**

- 357 • [Sample envelope.xml](#)

358

359 4.4. eSubmission Headings

360 Refer to the [SAHPRA eCTD Specifications](#) for more information on Headings. The eCTD
 361 Headings should be integrated into the documents submitted to make clear identification of
 362 the content as evaluator friendly as possible.

363 Comprehensive Table of Content of Life Cycle Operations

364 All Headings are the same as in the eCTD with the exception that eSubmissions have an
 365 additional heading:

366 Table 3 Additional Heading for eSubmission 1.1 – Table of Contents

Section ID	Title
1.1	Table of Life Cycle Operations

367

368 The Table of Life Cycle Operations is designed to provide the evaluator the ability to manually
 369 put together information automatically provided by eCTD Applications. The deeper into the life
 370 cycle the Application progresses i.e., the more Sequences that are submitted, the more
 371 important the table becomes for the evaluation.

372 The table gives the evaluator information on which Sequence folder to refer to when looking
 373 for the latest information submitted and the latest approved information.

374 Every CTD Heading where content is provided, and every file should be included in the table.

375 The table should provide the following information:

- 376 • Section
- 377 • Heading Title
- 378 • Last Sequence where Content was Submitted
- 379 • Life Cycle Operation that would have been applied in eCTD format – for example New,
 380 Replace or Delete
- 381 • Last Sequence where Content was Approved

382

383 Table 4 Example Table of Life Cycle Operations

Section	Heading Title	Last Submitted	Life Cycle Operation	Last Approved
1	Administrative Information and Prescribing Information			
1.0	Correspondence			
1.0.1	0001 Letter of Application-New Application	0001	New	
1.0.1	0002 Letter of Application-Query Response	0002	New	
1.0.1	0003 Letter of Application-Changes to PI	0003	New	
1.0.1	0004 Letter of Application-Changes to PI	0004	New	

1.0.1	0005 Letter of Application-Additional Strength	0005	New	
1.3	South African Product Information			
1.3.1	South African Professional Information			
1.3.1.1	Professional Information (PI)			
1.3.1.1.1	PI - Approved	0004	Replace	0004
1.3.1.1.2	PI - Clean	0004	Replace	0003
1.3.1.1.3	PI - Annotated	0004	Replace	0003
2	Common Technical Document Summaries			
2.2	Introduction	0001	New	0001
2.3	Quality Overall Summary			
2.3.1	Introduction	0001	New	0001
2.3.S	Drug Substance - Ibuprofen	0001	New	0001
2.3.P	Drug Product - Tablet	0005	Replace	0001

384



The complex management of when content was last submitted, and which Sequence contains the content last approved is automatically managed in eCTD. It is one of the major reasons eCTD is the preferred format.

385 4.5. Life Cycle Operations

386 Life cycle Operations are not possible in the eSubmission format as it lacks the XML
 387 elements to manage and track changes in the Application over time.



The inability to apply Life Cycle Operations reduces the efficiency of the evaluation and is one of the major reasons why eCTDs are the preferred format.

388 4.6. Files and Folders

389 4.6.1. File and Folder Naming Conventions

390 Naming conventions for the content files are part of the Validation Criteria.

- 391 • Follow the naming convention provided in the [SAHPRA eCTD Validation Criteria](#)
- 392 • Adhere to the basic ICH eCTD rules for folder and file names:
 - 393 – Use alphanumeric lower-case characters only – for example a-z & 0-9.
 - 394 – Do not use spaces.
 - 395 – Do not use any special characters other than hyphen “-”.
- 396 • Always provide evaluator friendly variable components in the file name when multiple
 397 files are provided for a section

398 **4.6.2. Folder and File Name – Path Length**

399 The Folder and File Name Path Length requirements are the same as described in the
400 [SAHPRA eCTD Specifications](#). Please refer to the Specifications, same sections, for more
401 information.

402 **4.6.3. Source Documents**

403 The Source Documents requirements are the same as described in the [SAHPRA eCTD](#)
404 [Specifications](#). Please refer to the Specifications, same sections, for more information.

405 **5. eCTD Preparation Tools**

406 Information on eCTD Preparation Tools can be found in the [SAHPRA eCTD Specifications](#).
407 Please refer to the Specifications, same sections, for more information.

408 **6. Appendix A: Best Practice File Name Variable Component**

409 Important information required for each file is highlighted in Appendix A: Best Practice Leaf
410 Titles of the [SAHPRA eCTD Specifications](#) as additional variable information that would be
411 added to the leaf title. Users can utilise the table provided in the [SAHPRA eCTD Specifications](#)
412 to get a good idea in many cases how they might be able to differentiate content with the
413 variable file name component.

414 Some titles include values in brackets – for example [DESCRIPTION]. These help indicate
415 good practice variable components.

416 **7. Appendix B: South African eCTD Granularity Annex**

417 The Granularity Rules are the same as described in the [SAHPRA eCTD Specifications](#). Please
418 refer to the Specifications, same sections, for more information.

419

420 8. Change Control

421 The following documents were referenced during the creation of this specification:

- 422 • eCTD ECOWAS eSubmission Specification
- 423 • eCTD AU Module 1 and Regional Information
- 424 • ICH eCTD Specifications v3.2.2

425

426 Factors that could affect the content of the specification include, but are not limited to:

- 427 • Changes in the Content of the Module 1 for the CTD
- 428 • New Functional Requirements
- 429 • Experience with Using eSubmissions, in particular Module 1
- 430 • Updates to the Processes – Automation

431

432 We will provide a practical timeframe for future changes to minimise impact on industry. In
 433 general, a transition time of at least 6 months is provided for migration to new Specifications.

434

435 If you have any feedback, comments, or questions, please visit [SAHPRA eCTD Website](#).

436 9. Version History

437 Versioning Guide

438 Versions to the Specifications will be handled as follows:

- 439 • Major Versions will be triggered by changes in the Envelope or Heading Elements e.g.,
 440 version 1.0, 2.0, 3.0.
- 441 • Minor Versions will be triggered by all other changes that require updates to the Schema
 442 e.g., version 1.1, 1.2, 1.3.
- 443 • Changes in the Specification document that do not trigger changes to the Schema will be
 444 identified by a number suffixing the minor version number e.g., version 1.01, 1.02, 1.03.
- 445 • All Major Versions will begin with the minor version 0 and no document version number
 446 will be applied until changes to the document have been issued. For both the minor
 447 versions and document changes the version number will be a single character running
 448 from 1-9 and then a-z if necessary.

449

Date	Version	Description of Change	Effective Date
August 2024	3.1	Updated broken hyperlinks. Removed mention of 2.24 document as it is archived. Comments addressed from industry.	October 2024
June 2024	3.0	Initial version as updated from v1 (which was based on NeeS). Major restructuring of the document and updates to both files required and a new trigger file to collect Envelope information. Most of the content is now referencing the eCTD specifications	July 2024 (with Portal launch)

	v2	Skipped to align versioning with the eCTD 3.0	
July 2019	v1	First publication for implementation	July 2019

450