

---

## Questions & Answers

### Implementation of eCTD in South Africa

#### Introduction

This document is intended to provide clarity on guidelines and specifications for applications for the medicines in eCTD format as well as the Validation Criteria. This document is meant to compliment the guidelines and validation criteria by addressing common and/or expected questions and reflects the current situation. This document will be expanded regularly updated with changes in legislation and experience gained in the processing and evaluation of applications. It will also lay a foundation for future guidance on Best Practices and new expectations as they develop. It will also lay a foundation for future specifications. Applicants should check for the current version of this document regularly to ensure compliance with the latest expectations. It is important that applicants adhere to the administrative requirements in the processing and evaluation of applications.

Guidelines and application forms are available from the office of the CEO and the website.

For clarification on Terminology, please see the Terminology tab or the Introduction section of the Specifications.

For general questions on eCTD, we encourage applicants to also familiarise themselves with the ICH Q10 document at:

#### Question Categories

The questions have been split up into 3 different tabs: 2.0 General, 3.0 eCTD, and 4.0 Archived. Within each tab, questions have been categorised as:

**(U)** - Questions and Answers update from the previous Q&A

**(N)** - Questions and Answers included from release of Specification 3.0

**Archived** - Questions and Answers from previous Q&A, still relevant but no changes included, or updated but no longer in practise.

---

registration of  
the specifications  
ation. Over time,  
ed, to provide  
ature updates to  
e they are in line  
to avoid delays

ite.

SAHPRA eCTD

CH eCTD Q&A

in each of these

dated to indicate

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 2.0 General Q&A

| No.    | Date Added | Category         | Question  |
|--------|------------|------------------|---|
| 2.1(U) | May-19     | Related Sequence | Related sequences – must it be left open, or must <none> be included similar to Swiss?  |
| 2.2(U) | May-19     | Related Sequence | Related sequences – should you not include the previously approved sequence when you start a new regulatory activity?                       |
| 2.3(U) | May-19     | Related Sequence | Related sequences - when should the related sequence be included?   |
| 2.4(U) | May-19     | Submission type  | Submission Type - What submission type should be used in the envelope when responding to a PEM and Clinical recommendation in one sequence? |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 2.0 General Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category        | Question  |
|---------|------------|-----------------|---|
| 2.5(U)  | May-19     | Folders & Files | Folders & Files - It is correct that the way 3.2.R as defined fits into the ICH DTD, but where is it defined? Must the applicant submit using the recommended folder and file names specified by ICH?                   |
| 2.6(U)  | May-19     | Folders & Files | Folders & Files -What is the correct file folder structure and names expected in 3.2.R?   |
| 2.8(U)  | May-19     | Validation      | Validation: Path Length - The maximum length of path starting with the application folder is 180 although ICH allows 230. Is the maximum path length of 180 characters only for module 1 or for modules 2 to 5 as well? |
| 2.11(U) | May-19     | Validation      | Validation - Is the folder structure checked during validation?   |
| 2.12(U) | May-19     | Folders & Files | Folders & Files: "New" documents - Which documents must be included as "New"?   |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 2.0 General Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category        | Question   |
|---------|------------|-----------------|--|
| 2.13(U) | May-19     | Folders & Files | New documents - Should documents that have to be included as "New" be placed in node extensions? |
| 2.14(U) | May-19     | Folders & Files | Leaf titles - What are the expectations for leaf titles?   |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 2.0 General Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category        | Question  |
|---------|------------|-----------------|---|
| 2.15(U) | May-19     | Folders & Files | Leaf titles - What is the difference between a leaf title and a file name?      |
| 2.16(U) | May-19     | Folders & Files | Validation template - Should hyperlinks be included in the validation template? |
| 2.17(U) | May-19     | Validation      | Validation - How must "Best practice warnings" in validation be handled?        |
| 2.18(U) | May-19     | MD5 Checksum    | MD5 Checksum -Where must the MD5 checksum be submitted?                         |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 2.0 General Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category            | Question   |
|---------|------------|---------------------|--|
| 2.20(U) | May-19     | Folders & Files     | Table of Contents - Should Tables of Contents include hyperlinks?                                  |
| 2.21(U) | May-19     | Folders & Files     | How do I ensure that thumbs.db files are not created on the CD/DVD that I submit to the Authority? |
| 2.25(N) | Mar-24     | Document Navigation | Hyperlinks - Should hyperlinks be created to the Literature Reference sections?                    |
| 2.26(N) | Mar-24     | Document Navigation | Hyperlinks - Can I hyperlink to content submitted in earlier Sequences?                            |
| 2.27(N) | Mar-24     | Document Navigation | Hyperlinks - Are intra-document hyperlinks required?   |
| 2.28(N) | Mar-24     | Document Navigation | Hyperlinks - What inter-document hyperlinks should be created?                                     |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 2.0 General Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category            | Question  |
|---------|------------|---------------------|---|
| 2.29(N) | Mar-24     | Document Navigation | Document Navigation - What expectations are there for Hyperlinks and Bookmarks      |
| 2.30(N) | Mar-24     | Document Navigation | Hyperlinks - Should hyperlinks be created to Module 3 from Module 2?                |
| 2.31(N) | Mar-24     | Document Navigation | Hyperlinks - Should hyperlinks be created to Module 4 from Module 2?                |
| 2.32(N) | Mar-24     | Document Navigation | Hyperlinks - Should hyperlinks be created to Module 5 from Module 2 and Module 5.2? |
| 2.33(N) | Mar-24     | Baselines           | Baselines - Are baselines required for the ZA eCTD 3.0?                             |
| 2.34(N) | Mar-24     | Baselines           | Baselines - Can I provide proposed changes in my baseline?                          |



## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 2.0 General Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category      | Question   |
|---------|------------|---------------|--|
| 2.35(N) | Mar-24     | Baselines     | Baselines - Are baselines reviewed?  |
| 2.36(N) | Mar-24     | Communication | Enquiries - Where should questions be sent about eCTDs or eSubmissions?              |
| 2.37(N) | Mar-24     | Communication | Feedback - How will feedback be received on Applications submitted?                  |
| 2.39(N) | Mar-24     | Content       | Post-Marketing Experience - Where should Post-Authorisation Information be provided? |
| 2.40(N) | Mar-24     | Envelope      | Envelope: Defined List Codes - How do I know if the code I am using is valid?        |
| 2.41(N) | Mar-24     | Envelope      | Envelope - How should multiple values be provided when required?                     |
| 2.42(N) | Mar-24     | Envelope      | Envelope: Submission codes - Will the application type codes still be the same?      |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 2.0 General Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category        | Question   |
|---------|------------|-----------------|--|
| 2.43(N) | Mar-24     | Folders & Files | Document Granularity - How granular must I be with my documents? Is it better to keep them at a higher or lower level? |
| 2.44(N) | Mar-24     | Portal          | Portal - What is the web address for the portal?   |
| 2.45(N) | Mar-24     | Envelope        | Envelope - What is an CIPC number?   |
| 2.46(N) | Mar-24     | Portal          | Portal - How is access to the portal managed?  |
| 2.47(N) | Mar-24     | Portal          | Portal - Can Applicants have multiple logins for multiple employees?   |
| 2.48(N) | Mar-24     | Portal          | Portal - Do I need to apply for an Applicant ID each time I want to submit a product?                                  |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 2.0 General Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category     | Question  |
|---------|------------|--------------|---|
| 2.49(N) | Mar-24     | Portal       | Portal - Does the portal provide status information on the Applications/Submissions/Sequences submitted?  |
| 2.50(N) | Mar-24     | Portal       | Portal - On the BAU variation status will a date be included for the approvals  |
| 2.51(N) | Mar-24     | Portal       | What is the status of the Quantum portal? is this the same portal referred to for launch 1 July?  |
| 2.52(N) | Mar-24     | Portal       | The portal for now is only for eCTD submissions. As such, from 16 Apr till Jul?, will the FTP still be open for eSubmission applications? Otherwise, how will be submit eSubmission applications until the specifications and requirements are ready? |
| 2.53(N) | Mar-24     | eSubmissions | Please advice where we can submit e-submissions application for those dossiers that has not yet been converted to e-CTD?  |
| 2.54(N) | Mar-24     | Security     | Security - Is my data secure?   |
| 2.55(N) | Mar-24     | Content      | Content: PI/PIL - Where should documents for PI/PIL be placed in a New Application?   |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 2.0 General Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category           | Question   |
|---------|------------|--------------------|--|
| 2.56(N) | Mar-24     | Content            | Content: PI/PIL - Where should documents for PI/PIL be placed in a Response to Recommendations before Registration Approval?     |
| 2.57(N) | Mar-24     | Content            | PI/PIL - Where should documents for PI/PIL be placed in amendments proposed after Registration Approval?                         |
| 2.58(N) | Mar-24     | Content            | PI/PIL - Where should documents for PI/PIL be placed in a Responses to Recommendations after Registration Approval?              |
| 2.59(N) | Mar-24     | Content            | PI/PIL - How should approved proposed content in the Product Information Clean sections be transferred to the Approved sections? |
| 2.60(N) | Mar-24     | Software Solutions | Software Solutions - Will SAHPRA provide a list of software solutions?   |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 2.0 General Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category           | Question  |
|---------|------------|--------------------|---|
| 2.61(N) | Mar-24     | Software Solutions | Software Solutions - What should a company look for in an eCTD Publishing and Validation solution?  |
| 2.62(N) | Mar-24     | Trigger File       | Trigger File - Where do I find the trigger file?  |
| 2.63(N) | Mar-24     | Trigger File       | Trigger File - How do I complete the trigger file?  |
| 2.64(N) | Mar-24     | Folders & Files    | Folders & Files - Is it necessary to have a descriptive file name for documents submitted?  |
| 2.65(N) | Mar-24     | Validation         | Validation - Can I submit an application if there are validation Errors?  |
| 2.66(N) | Mar-24     | eCTD Specification | Why is SAHPRA eCTD Specification 3.0 still based on the ICH eCTD Specification 3.2.2 version instead of the 4.0 which is the latest version that ICH has published  |
| 2.67(N) | Mar-24     | eCTD Specification | Transition eCTD Specification 3.0 and implementation - eCTD 3.0 will be accepted from 1st of October. Is there a transition period until it's mandatory, so a period where current and new version is accepted? |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 2.0 General Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category                   | Question   |
|---------|------------|----------------------------|--|
| 2.68(N) | Mar-24     | Admin Freeze<br>March 2024 | Admin Freeze - Will the Applicant be able to submit APIMF in this admin freeze period?   |
| 2.69(N) | Mar-24     | Admin Freeze<br>March 2024 | Admin Freeze - Will the freeze affect the submission of the closed part of the DMF to SAHPRA?  |
| 2.70(N) | Mar-24     | Admin Freeze<br>March 2024 | Admin Freeze - Please confirm all processes at SAHPRA will not be frozen, i.e applications in submission/review ?  |
| 2.71(N) | Mar-24     | Admin Freeze<br>March 2024 | Admin Freeze: Veterinary Renewals - Will this be applicable to veterinary? We have a renewal response due for before 16th of April, must we also then only respond on the 16th?  |
| 2.72(N) | Mar-24     | Admin Freeze<br>March 2024 | Admin Freeze: eCTD submissions - For dossiers not yet in eCTD but still in CTD and there is a recommendation to submit after the freeze period, what is the expectation on this/ what will be the approach in submitting the response? |
| 2.73(N) | Mar-24     | Admin Freeze<br>March 2024 | Admin Freeze: Variations - does this have impact on Type I variation implementation timelines?   |
| 2.74(N) | Mar-24     | Admin Freeze<br>March 2024 | Admin Freeze - During the freeze period, will section 36 Applications also be frozen?  |
| 2.75(N) | Mar-24     | Admin Freeze<br>March 2024 | Admin Freeze - Where do I submit my submission until the Portal goes live on 1 July 2024?  |
| 2.76(N) | Mar-24     | Admin Freeze<br>March 2024 | Can I apply for an Application number during the Admin Freeze period?  |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 2.0 General Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category             | Question  |
|---------|------------|----------------------|---|
| 2.77(N) | Mar-24     | DVP Portal           | What happens to the DVP portal after the Admin Freeze and how will affect this the current Type IA amendments where it has an impact on the registration certificate? E.g., Addition of FPRC's?   |
| 2.78(N) | Mar-24     | Product Cancellation | Product Cancellation - When a product is de-registered do we have to submit a variation of some sort to close the lifecycle   |
| 2.79(N) | Mar-24     | Sequences            | Sequence: Submitting a variation - Just clarification for sequence, if our dossier is already in eCTD and we're submitting a variation. According to the sequence tracking table, do we continue then to the next sequence e.g., current sequence is 0005, next sequence can be 0006 regardless of the new updates? |
| 2.80(N) | Mar-24     | Master Files         | Master Files - Will this portal allow for the submission of SMFs once every 5 years as per the guideline?   |
| 2.81(N) | Mar-24     | eSubmissions         | eSubmissions - Just to check, industry may submit eSubmission variations without baseline till 1 Oct is that correct? From 1st Oct do eSubmissions fall away?   |
| 2.82(N) | Mar-24     | eSubmissions         | eSubmissions - Companies that are to-date still submitting variations as e-submission format, how will those be handled?  |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 2.0 General Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category             | Question  |
|---------|------------|----------------------|---|
| 2.83(N) | Mar-24     | Working Documents    | Working Documents - If we still need to do eCTD baseline submissions (i.e. seq 0000), will the baseline also not require MS word working documents? |
| 2.84(N) | Mar-24     | Working Documents    | Working Documents - Where are they located according to the new SAHPRA eCTD 3.0 Specification?  |
| 2.85(N) | Mar-24     | QOS/QIS in Baselines | Will these baselines require QOS/QIS? (If I remember correctly, eCTD baselines did not require QOS/QIS documents).                                  |
| 2.86(N) | Mar-24     | Work grouping        | Work grouping - Is work grouping the same as bundling?  |
| 2.87(N) | Mar-24     | Envelope             | Envelope: Contact - Is it advisable to put the person signing the dossier as per 1222 or the Responsible Pharmacist                                 |
| 2.88(N) | Mar-24     | Communication        | Communication: Key dates - Summarise the key dates for us.  |
| 2.89(N) | Mar-24     | Content              | Content - As only electronic submissions are made, is 1.2.2.4 still necessary?  |



## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 2.0 General Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category                    | Question   |
|---------|------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 2.90(N) | Mar-24     | Clinical Trial applications | Clinical Trial Applications - For the 5 April submissions of new clinical trial applications - would it still be through the 'old' process?                        |
| 2.91(N) | Mar-24     | Guidelines                  | Guidelines: eCTD Module 1 - Will the South African Specification for eCTD Regional Module 1 guideline be updated, and if so, when will it be published?            |
| 2.92(N) | Mar-24     | Training                    | Training: Are there going to be more in-depth training for use of the system?  |
| 2.93(N) | Mar-24     | Content                     | Content: Cover pages - Are cover pages for sections still required for eCTD and eSubmissions?  |
| 2.94(N) | Mar-24     | eSubmissions                | eSubmissions - All the recent submissions that are going to be imported into RIMS, will it include only eCTD submissions or both eSubmissions and eCTD submissions |
| 2.95(N) | Mar-24     | Master Files                | Do we need to include all SMF numbers for all sites applied for (FPP, FPRC, FPRR, Packers).  |
| 2.96(N) | Mar-24     | Correspondence              | Correspondence - With the new trigger file, do we still need to sent SAHPRA email notification as proof of upload of submission?                                   |
| 2.97(N) | Mar-24     | Submission Lead             | Submission Lead - What is the Submission Lead?   |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 2.0 General Q&A

| No.    | Date Added | Category         | Answer   |
|--------|------------|------------------|--|
| 2.1(U) | May-19     | Related Sequence | When a new Regulatory activity is started and there is no related sequence, the related sequence should be indicated as the same sequence as what is being submitted in the envelope. This is according to the SAHPRA eCTD specification 3.0.  |
| 2.2(U) | May-19     | Related Sequence | No, each Submission Type/Regulatory Activity starts with a new sequence with no related sequence. In the envelope/on the portal the related sequence should be indicated as the initial sequence of the submission.  |
| 2.3(U) | May-19     | Related Sequence | The related sequence number describes the relationship of additional information to the original sequence or subsequent sequences within a Regulatory Activity/Submission. Therefore, it should be included for all sequences subsequent to the original submission sequence in a regulatory activity.<br>Refer to the example on the use of the related sequence in 2.21 South African Specification for eCTD Regional - Module 1.  |
| 2.4(U) | May-19     | Submission type  | <u>Pre-reg:</u> <b>Submission type</b> will be NCE/New Generic (Multisource) etc. as per the initial sequence's submission type.<br><b>Sequence type:</b> Response to Clinical Recommendations and Response to Quality Recommendation.<br><br><u>Variations (post-reg):</u> <b>Submission type</b> will be according to the variation type as per the initial submission e.g., Type IB Clinical. Please refer to submission matrix for allowed combinations of variations.<br><b>Sequence type:</b> Please note that because you are responding to a specific submission evaluation, you cannot combine responses to separate submissions into one sequence. Therefore, your sequence type will be according to the initial submissions' response – e.g., Submission type for initial submission was Type IB Clinical, sequence type will therefore be Response to Clinical Recommendations. |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 2.0 General Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category        | Answer  |
|---------|------------|-----------------|---|
| 2.5(U)  | May-19     | Folders & Files | SAHPRA does not have a specified folder and file name for eCTD content beyond the top level folders within the Submission Unit folder of m1, m2, m3, m4, and m5. Each leaf element of the eCTD must reference the file correctly and provide an acceptable leaf title.  |
| 2.6(U)  | May-19     | Folders & Files | SAHPRA does not have a specified folder and file name for eCTD content beyond the top level folders within the Submission Unit folder of m1, m2, m3, m4, and m5. Each leaf element of the eCTD must reference the file correctly and provide an acceptable leaf title.  |
| 2.8(U)  | May-19     | Validation      | There are limitations on overall path lengths in a normal MS Windows® environment. The ICH limitation was setup to ensure that there is enough space to setup an organisation structure on the authority side. The limitation allows for more flexibility in this matter and reduces the chances of file names being truncated when copied from one media to another.<br>Note that the SAHPRA limit is not novel and has already been implemented by other authorities - for example EU, Switzerland, Australia, ECOWAS, etc. |
| 2.11(U) | May-19     | Validation      | Yes, the xml folder structure will be checked during validation in accordance with the current validation criteria.   |
| 2.12(U) | May-19     | Folders & Files | As indicated in the validation template and point 5.4 of guideline 2.23 Submission in eCTD format, the operation attribute of the following documents should be reflected as "new":<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•10.1 Letter of application</li> <li>•12.1 Application form</li> <li>•12.2.1 Proof of payment</li> <li>•12.2.4 Electronic copy declaration</li> <li>•15.2.1 Tabulated schedule of amendments (if applicable)</li> <li>•Changes to modules as per specification 3.0.</li> </ul>                  |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 2.0 General Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category        | Answer   |
|---------|------------|-----------------|--|
| 2.13(U) | May-19     | Folders & Files | <p>No, node extensions should not be used. Additional descriptive text must be included in the leaf title to assist with identification of specific document (see expectations for leaf titles below). Please take note of the granularity for Mod 1.0, where the Note to Evaluator, is included as a separate Node and should not be included as part of the Cover Letter and bookmarked as per previous practise. Leaf titles are helpful during the evaluation process. Please refer to Best Practise Guidance on Leaf Titles included in Specification 3.0.</p>  |
| 2.14(U) | May-19     | Folders & Files | <p>Point 3.5 of guideline 2.23 Submission in eCTD format states: "As eCTD viewing tools will display all "new" leaf elements in a current or cumulative view, additional descriptive text has to be included in the leaf title to assist with identification of specific letters. This will help identify each letter of application leaf and the submission it is in, rather than having the letters named the same in each sequence."</p> <p>However, this should be applied where the operation attribute is always new or where multiple documents may be included e.g. 1.2.1, 1.7.3, 1.3.1.2</p> <p>Leaf titles are an important part of eCTD submissions as they are displayed to the evaluator when evaluating an eCTD application. Including meaningful information in leaf titles makes submissions easier to navigate and makes evaluators' jobs easier. Please refer to Best Practise Guidance on Leaf Titles included in Specification 3.0.</p> <p>Leaf titles should be short, descriptive and distinguishing, especially in sections where multiple documents are being provided. The evaluator should not have to open the file to understand the content that is being provided.</p> <p>Leaf titles should be suitably descriptive for the current sequence and all possible life-cycle sequences.</p> |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 2.0 General Q&A

| No.                    | Date Added  | Category        | Answer  |            |             |                        |   |                      |  |        |   |           |                                      |
|------------------------|---|-----------------|---|------------|-------------|------------------------|---|----------------------|--|--------|---|-----------|--------------------------------------|
| 2.15(U)                | May-19  | Folders & Files | <p>Both the PDF file name and the leaf title are used to identify and describe each file in the eCTD. The leaf title/description does not have to be the same as the PDF file name given to the file.</p> <p>A leaf title is what is seen by an evaluator when viewing an eCTD application via the XML file and Style Sheet.</p> <p>A file name is what is seen by an evaluator when viewing a folder structure without the XML and Style Sheet and viewed. For eCTDs the file names are unimportant except in cases specified. For eSubmissions, since no XML file is supplied, the file name is the only method available to identify the content. Attention should be given to 2.14 above in terms of leaf title expectations to ease navigation through eCTD submissions.</p> <p>Examples:</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">File name:</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Leaf title:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>application-letter.pdf</td> <td>Letter of application (Initial application)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>application-form.pdf</td> <td>Application form 10 mg initial application</td> </tr> <tr> <td>pi.pdf</td> <td>Proposed Professional Information initial application</td> </tr> <tr> <td>avail.pdf</td> <td>Comparative dissolution study report</td> </tr> </table> | File name: | Leaf title: | application-letter.pdf | Letter of application (Initial application) | application-form.pdf | Application form 10 mg initial application | pi.pdf | Proposed Professional Information initial application | avail.pdf | Comparative dissolution study report |
| File name:             | Leaf title:   |                 |   |            |             |                        |   |                      |  |        |   |           |                                      |
| application-letter.pdf | Letter of application (Initial application)           |                 |   |            |             |                        |   |                      |  |        |   |           |                                      |
| application-form.pdf   | Application form 10 mg initial application            |                 |   |            |             |                        |   |                      |  |        |   |           |                                      |
| pi.pdf                 | Proposed Professional Information initial application |                 |   |            |             |                        |   |                      |  |        |   |           |                                      |
| avail.pdf              | Comparative dissolution study report                  |                 |   |            |             |                        |   |                      |  |        |   |           |                                      |
| 2.16(U)                | May-19  | Folders & Files | Yes, technical sections should be hyperlinked for ease of reference during Screening.   |            |             |                        |   |                      |  |        |   |           |                                      |
| 2.17(U)                | May-19  | Validation      | <p>For any Best Practice criteria that are not met (now referred to as Warnings), you must address these in the Cover Letter. Warnings generally lead to a less efficient evaluation so should be fixed whenever possible. It will be up to the SAHPRA to decide whether the issues should be resolved. It is however recommended that the applicant provide sequences without any Warnings as continuous failure to fix Warnings with subsequent sequences, will result in SAHPRA rejecting any following sequences/submissions. Any adjustments should generally be addressed in the next Submission Unit.</p>  |            |             |                        |   |                      |  |        |   |           |                                      |
| 2.18(U)                | May-19  | MD5 Checksum    | This is no longer the practise to include outside of the submission.  |            |             |                        |   |                      |  |        |   |           |                                      |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 2.0 General Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category            | Answer   |
|---------|------------|---------------------|--|
| 2.20(U) | May-19     | Folders & Files     | It is not required to hyperlink a document TOC. Instead, the entries of the TOC should be made available as Bookmarks. This provides constant access to all referenced content of the document without having to return to the TOC page.   |
| 2.21(U) | May-19     | Folders & Files     | CD/DVDs will only be accepted on request by the authority. Submissions will be handled via the Portal from 1 July 2024. thumbs.db files are Windows system database files automatically generated and contain small images used to view a folder in Thumbnail view. These are created when you browse through the folders to enable a faster indexing of the content. It is possible to turn off the automatic creation of such system files and this is encouraged. Please consult your IT and implement such settings. |
| 2.25(N) | Mar-24     | Document Navigation | A listing of literature references is normally provided in 2.4 of 4.3 and in 2.5 and 2.7.5 of 5.4. Hyperlinks between these are not required as long as the entry in the lists of Module 2 matches the leaf title / file name used in 4.3 / 5.4.   |
| 2.26(N) | Mar-24     | Document Navigation | Yes, since the eCTD and eSubmission are contained in a predictable structure, it is possible to create hyperlinks to documents provided in earlier Sequences. Hyperlinks must be made using a relative path.   |
| 2.27(N) | Mar-24     | Document Navigation | In general, it is always good practice and will improve the evaluation if intra-document hyperlinks are provided where the user is able to jump from one section of a document to another part referenced. However, if the documents are sufficiently bookmarked and the destination is adequately bookmarked, intra-document hyperlinks are not required.   |
| 2.28(N) | Mar-24     | Document Navigation | SAHPRA would prefer that documents be properly bookmarked over adopting an extensive approach to hyperlinking. Hyperlinks between documents tend to get messy deep into the lifecycle with misleading or broken links.<br>Hyperlinks should only be created when a distinct benefit would be provided for the evaluator.<br>With that in mind, the frequent changes to Module 3 coupled with the detailed granularity of the Quality section means that hyperlinks to Module 3 are discouraged                           |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 2.0 General Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category            | Answer   |
|---------|------------|---------------------|--|
| 2.29(N) | Mar-24     | Document Navigation | SAHPRA would prefer that documents be properly bookmarked than an extensive approach to hyperlinking be adopted. Hyperlinks between documents tend to get messy deep into the lifecycle with misleading or broken links. Hyperlinks should only be created when a distinct benefit would be provided for the evaluator.  |
| 2.30(N) | Mar-24     | Document Navigation | The frequent changes to Module 3 coupled with the detailed granularity of the Quality sections making content location more predictable means that hyperlinks to Module 3 from 2.3 are discouraged. Bookmarks are preferred in these sections. Starting with Specification 3.0 this is no longer necessary and the validation template will be updated accordingly.      |
| 2.31(N) | Mar-24     | Document Navigation | The lack of major changes to Modules 4 coupled with a less granular structure of the Study sections means that hyperlinks to Modules 4 are encouraged. Specifically:<br>Any reference to a specific study in the 2.4 Overview or the 2.6 Written and Tabulated Summaries should be linked to that study in 4.2   |
| 2.32(N) | Mar-24     | Document Navigation | The lack of major changes to Modules 5 coupled with a less granular structure of the Study sections means that hyperlinks to Modules 5 are encouraged. Specifically:<br>Any reference to a specific study in the 2.5 Overview, the 2.7 Summaries and Synopsis of Individual Studies or 5.2 Tabular Listing of All Clinical Studies should be linked to that study in 5.3 |
| 2.33(N) | Mar-24     | Baselines           | No, Applicant's should continue with the next applicable business as usual sequence, no need to submit a baseline of the new specifications.   |
| 2.34(N) | Mar-24     | Baselines           | No, the baseline Sequence can only contain content previously submitted, and in most cases approved, in an earlier format. It is a reformatting of content previously provided and the Electronic Declaration Document should attest that no changes have been included in the sequence.   |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 2.0 General Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category      | Answer   |
|---------|------------|---------------|--|
| 2.35(N) | Mar-24     | Baselines     | No, they act as a foundation and reference for the evaluator and drastically increase the efficiency for the evaluation of changes. They are only looked at in relation to the review of new content. For eCTDs, they create a foundation for lifecycle operations so that "replace" and "delete" can be implemented correctly.  |
| 2.36(N) | Mar-24     | Communication | Please send all questions and comments concerning eCTD or eSubmissions to <a href="mailto:eCTD@sahpra.org.za">eCTD@sahpra.org.za</a> , using the template as provided on the website. We will make every effort to respond quickly acknowledging that many questions come at a time with looming deadlines.  |
| 2.37(N) | Mar-24     | Communication | Validation reports will be sent to the emails listed in the envelope. At least one contact must be provided. Depending on the nature of the feedback, the appropriate available contact will be used. In addition any status on the application will be available via the Portal from 1 July 2024.   |
| 2.39(N) | Mar-24     | Content       | All Reports on Post- Marketing Experiences, Post Authorisation Information, PSURs, etc should be provided in section 5.3.6.  |
| 2.40(N) | Mar-24     | Envelope      | In the code XML file stored on the SAHPRA eCTD website, all entries indicate from which version of the file the code is valid. If the code has expired, the version of the file to which it was valid is also indicated.   |
| 2.41(N) | Mar-24     | Envelope      | Several of the envelope attributes can have multiple values e.g., Application Number, INN, APIDMF etc. Each value must be listed separately as its own element. For examples please refer to the SAHPRA eCTD specifications. In addition, please refer to the ZA-envelop-xml Trigger File Instructions on how to create multiple values when creating the trigger file |
| 2.42(N) | Mar-24     | Envelope      | No, the application type have been updated and separate submission types are included with the updated eCTD Specifications. This was completely overhauled to reflect the types of submissions we are now accepting with the EU Variation guidance. Please refer to the eCTD website for the Application Types, Submission Types and etc.                              |



## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 2.0 General Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category        | Answer  |
|---------|------------|-----------------|---|
| 2.43(N) | Mar-24     | Folders & Files | <p>Documents should be broken down into manageable sections. The ICH M4(R4) should be consulted on this matter. The more granular you are able to make your documents, the more precise you are able to be later in lifecycles when amendments are made to the application.</p> <p>If - for example, section 2.3 QOS was submitted as a single document, later changes to a single drug substance supplier would trigger a re-evaluation of the drug product section although nothing in that section had changed. If broken down into smaller pieces, the evaluation of later amendments will be more efficient and faster.</p> <p>Please note that as specified in the ICH M4(R4) guidance, the sections 2.3.S, 2.3.P, 2.3.A and 3.2.P.2 should be submitted as one file and should not be broken down further.</p> |
| 2.44(N) | Mar-24     | Portal          | <a href="https://ectd.sahpra.org.za/">https://ectd.sahpra.org.za/</a>   |
| 2.45(N) | Mar-24     | Envelope        | This is a number issued by the Companies and Intellectual Property Commission for the registration of a business.   |
| 2.46(N) | Mar-24     | Portal          | <p>Access to the portal is managed via the Applicant's login ID. If you do not have an login ID you will first need to apply for one. You will receive your login ID via email which will then be your login for the portal. You will have to set your password on your first login.</p> <p>We encourage you to keep the login ID and password confidential and not to share it with unauthorised personnel. If a member of your team leaves the company, we encourage you to change the password for your login ID so that your ex-team member will no longer have access to the portal and your company's application history.</p>  |
| 2.47(N) | Mar-24     | Portal          | No, each applicant is issued one login for the system. The confidentiality of the login and password is the responsibility of the company.  |
| 2.48(N) | Mar-24     | Portal          | No, once a login ID is issued, you will use that ID for all future applications.  |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 2.0 General Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category     | Answer  |
|---------|------------|--------------|---|
| 2.49(N) | Mar-24     | Portal       | Yes, the portal will provide real-time status information on Applications/Submission/Sequences submitted  |
| 2.50(N) | Mar-24     | Portal       | Approval dates will be configured in the new Portal going live July 2024.   |
| 2.51(N) | Mar-24     | Portal       | The Portal that will be launched 01 July is new. Quantum will be integrated into this system.   |
| 2.52(N) | Mar-24     | Portal       | All applications/submissions will still be submitted onto the FTP portal until the Portal goes live on 1 July 2024. The new Portal is for eCTD and eSubmission. The trigger files for eSubmission is exactly the same as for eCTD and should be included in the submission to the FTP portal. Please refer to the Powerpoint of the Webinar presented 14 March 2024 and creating an xml trigger file on the eCTD website. |
| 2.53(N) | Mar-24     | eSubmissions | All dossiers for Orthodox and Biologicals should now be in eCTD, was mandated for 31 March 2022. For Complementary and Veterinay Medicine, there will be a transition phase as described in the presentation from 14 March 2024.  |
| 2.54(N) | Mar-24     | Security     | Yes, the principles of LEAST PRIVILEGE is used for data access by granting users the minimum level of access required to perform their tasks. Data is stored on servers assigning appropriate access rights and permissions based on user roles and responsibilities on IT or System administrator level only.  |
| 2.55(N) | Mar-24     | Content      | For New Applications e.g., the first Sequence of a New Product Registration, all proposed PI/PIL documents should be provided in the approved sections<br>At the time of Registration, the approved version should be in the approved section.  |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 2.0 General Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category           | Answer  |
|---------|------------|--------------------|---|
| 2.56(N) | Mar-24     | Content            | For Responses to Recommendations before the Registration Approval, the annotated version of all proposed PI/PIL documents should be provided in the annotated sections and the clean versions should be provided in the Approved versions in Mod 1.3. At the time of Registration, the approved version should be in the approved section.  |
| 2.57(N) | Mar-24     | Content            | For Amendments to the approved PI/PIL after Registration Approval, all clean versions of the proposed documents should be placed in the clean sections and all annotated versions of the documents should be placed in the annotated section in Mod 1.3. The currently approved version should not be replaced in the approved section until the proposed clean version is approved.  |
| 2.58(N) | Mar-24     | Content            | For Responses to Recommendations after the Registration Approval, the annotated version of all proposed PI/PIL documents should be provided in the annotated sections. The clean versions should be provided in the clean sections of Mod 1.3.  |
| 2.59(N) | Mar-24     | Content            | When the proposed PI/PIL placed in the Clean sections is approved, it is not necessary to submit a Sequence to provide the approved document in the Approved section. This can be done in the very next Sequence submitted. A comment should be placed in the Cover Letter indicating that the Approved Product Information approved in Sequence (indicate Sequence) has been placed in the Approved section. For an eCTD, the file should not be provided again. Instead, content reuse should be used to reference the file provided in the earlier sequence. |
| 2.60(N) | Mar-24     | Software Solutions | Please note that SAHPRA does not recommend any of the solutions. It is the responsibility of the companies to do their due diligence and ensure they acquire the right solution for their needs.  |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 2.0 General Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category           | Answer   |
|---------|------------|--------------------|--|
| 2.61(N) | Mar-24     | Software Solutions | Companies are encouraged to create User Requirements that summarise their needs in terms of functionality. There are a wide variety of solutions on the market both in terms of functionality and price and companies should select a solution that best fits their needs.<br>A sample set of basic User Requirements is available on the ECOWAS website.  |
| 2.62(N) | Mar-24     | Trigger File       | We have provided an Excel file on the eCTD Website which can be used to create the trigger file in a more user-friendly manner   |
| 2.63(N) | Mar-24     | Trigger File       | The ZA-Envelope-XML Trigger File Instructions have been provided on the eCTD Website.  |
| 2.64(N) | Mar-24     | Folders & Files    | No, the file name is irrelevant in an eCTD. Applicants should ensure that leaf titles are descriptive and distinguishing, especially when multiple documents are being provided in the same section.   |
| 2.65(N) | Mar-24     | Validation         | No, applications will be validated when received and if any validation errors are found, it will be rejected before it can be imported into the evaluation software. If there are issues that cannot be rectified, the applicant should contact <a href="mailto:eCTD@sahpra.org.za">eCTD@sahpra.org.za</a> for clarification.<br>Any adjustments will need to be made the the same Submission Unit resubmitted once corrected. |
| 2.66(N) | Mar-24     | eCTD Specification | The 4.0 version is still only in pilot phase and still being defined with experience. 3.2.2 is supported already by vendor solutions and industry. There are options available in all price points and it is less technical.   |
| 2.67(N) | Mar-24     | eCTD Specification | We will be accepting 3.0 starting in July 2024 but will be mandatory from 1 October 2024. We are announcing it now and providing the specifications now so that vendors and applicants have time to prepare accordingly.   |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 2.0 General Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category                   | Answer   |
|---------|------------|----------------------------|--|
| 2.68(N) | Mar-24     | Admin Freeze<br>March 2024 | The admin freeze applies to all submissions that were received via the FTP platform.   |
| 2.69(N) | Mar-24     | Admin Freeze<br>March 2024 | The admin freeze applies to all submissions that were received via the FTP platform.   |
| 2.70(N) | Mar-24     | Admin Freeze<br>March 2024 | The admin freeze applies to receipt of submissions via the sFTP and DVP platforms. Applications/Submissions received prior to the admin freeze will be processed accordingly.                                |
| 2.71(N) | Mar-24     | Admin Freeze<br>March 2024 | Yes. Please submit your response on 16 April 2024.   |
| 2.72(N) | Mar-24     | Admin Freeze<br>March 2024 | All dossiers for Orthodox and Biologicals should now be in eCTD, was mandated for 31 March 2022. For Complementary and Veterinay Medicine, there will be a transition phase as described in the presentation |
| 2.73(N) | Mar-24     | Admin Freeze<br>March 2024 | Implementation timelines for Type I variations submitted before the admin freeze will not be impacted.   |
| 2.74(N) | Mar-24     | Admin Freeze<br>March 2024 | Section 36 applications will not be affected since they are not submitted via the sFTP or the DVP.   |
| 2.75(N) | Mar-24     | Admin Freeze<br>March 2024 | Please submit your submission the same way as before the Admin Freeze via the FTP Portal. Remember to include the new xml trigger file. Please refer to the eCTD website for instructions.                   |
| 2.76(N) | Mar-24     | Admin Freeze<br>March 2024 | You can still apply for an Application Number during the Admin Freeze period.  |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 2.0 General Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category             | Answer   |
|---------|------------|----------------------|--|
| 2.77(N) | Mar-24     | DVP Portal           | The DVP portal will shut down together with the Admin Freeze. All variations (Type IA, Iain, IB and II) should be submitted in eCTD and will be imported into the system from 16 April 2024. Any variations submitted the DVP portal that is still in process, will still be processed accordingly. The summary as provided by the DVP will be replaced with a new document emailed from SAHPRA, until the Portal goes live in July 2024, where this will be provided to the applicant via the Portal. |
| 2.78(N) | Mar-24     | Product Cancellation | This would be a Cancellation. There is a Submission Type to handle this  |
| 2.79(N) | Mar-24     | Sequences            | There is no change in the sequences provided, always provide the next sequence numerically. How they are connected are managed via the related sequence number, submission types, sequence types. Please refer to Q&A sections pertaining to related sequences.  |
| 2.80(N) | Mar-24     | Master Files         | The process on submission of master files (including SMFs) will be communicated soonest.   |
| 2.81(N) | Mar-24     | eSubmissions         | eSubmissions for Orthodox and Biologicals fell away on 1 April 2022 if applicants were following published timelines. We now expect only eCTD submissions for these Submission Types and variations within these.  |
| 2.82(N) | Mar-24     | eSubmissions         | The expectation since 1 April 2022 is that they would switch over to eCTD. SAHPRA cannot be expected to be as efficient with eSubmissions, they lack the life cycle advantages of an eCTD so especially for variations, it is important that applicants move to eCTD.  |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 2.0 General Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category             | Answer  |
|---------|------------|----------------------|---|
| 2.83(N) | Mar-24     | Working Documents    | The word files can be provided with the baseline if you are following 3.0, they will be still provided in the working documents folder for 2.1 sequences.   |
| 2.84(N) | Mar-24     | Working Documents    | The MS Word.docx or Rich Text Format (referred to as Source Documents) that were provided as Working Documents, should be placed alongside the PDF document within the appropriate sections of the eCTD using the life cycle operation New or Replace.  |
| 2.85(N) | Mar-24     | QOS/QIS in Baselines | The QOS/QIS is not required in the baseline when converting to eCTD or when applications are split.   |
| 2.86(N) | Mar-24     | Work grouping        | Yes, it is the combining of submission types, multiple activities bundled into a single sequence  |
| 2.87(N) | Mar-24     | Envelope             | It can be either the person signing the dossier, the person responsible for technical issues or the Responsible Pharmacist. It is up to the Applicant to decide.  |
| 2.88(N) | Mar-24     | Communication        | Please see the 2.26 Roadmap for a summary.<br>1 July 2024: New Portal goes live. eCTD submissions mandatory for Orthodox and Biologicals (as per implementation in 2022). May submit with eCTD Specifications 3.0 and per current eCTD Specification.<br>1 October 2024: ONLY eCTD complying to Specification 3.0 will be accepted. Medical Devices, Veterinary and CAMs will be updated on the 2.26 Roadmap. |
| 2.89(N) | Mar-24     | Content              | This is still required for eCTD Specification 3.0, however not for submission types Withdrawal and Cancellation. For more information please refer to the Guidance for the Submission of Regulatory Information in eCTD format. A new template pertaining to this section will be provided soonest. Please continue with current practise until communication in this regard has been sent out.               |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 2.0 General Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category                    | Answer   |
|---------|------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 2.90(N) | Mar-24     | Clinical Trial applications | Preferred format for clinical trials is eCTD. We may make provisions for this under the eSubmission guideline to allow a stepping stone to eCTD.   |
| 2.91(N) | Mar-24     | Guidelines                  | Yes, all eCTD related guidelines will be updated. The guidelines will be published on the eCTD website for comment.  |
| 2.92(N) | Mar-24     | Training                    | Internally at SAHPRA, yes there will be training on the new system. For Industry, we will be having further webinars and workshops as we go along.   |
| 2.93(N) | Mar-24     | Content                     | Including a cover page to a section is not required for eCTD and eSubmissions, in fact, we recommend that they are not included as part of your submission, as they tend to lessen efficiency of evaluators and is an unnecessary administrative inclusion in your submission. Please refer to xxx |
| 2.94(N) | Mar-24     | eSubmissions                | Only eCTD for Orthodox and Biologicals are imported into RIMS. If your submission is still in eSubmission, they need to be converted to eCTD (baseline) and be submitted with the next variation.  |
| 2.95(N) | Mar-24     | Master Files                | Yes, as future automation allows us to use the SMF numbers to prefill the registration certificates. This is also valid for all APIMFs/ VAMFs included in a submission.  |
| 2.96(N) | Mar-24     | Correspondence              | No, this is no longer required. Please check your inbox for notification of successful import (validation report) into SAHPRA's RIMS.  |
| 2.97(N) | Mar-24     | Submission Lead             | The submission lead is the Program at SAHPRA that is responsible for the application e.g., Orthodox vs. Biologicals vs. Veterinary etc. Pharmacovigilance should be used if PV is the only content in a Submission.  |



### ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.    | Date Added | Category           | Question  |
|--------|------------|--------------------|---|
| 3.1(U) | May-19     | Content            | Content: Tracking table – must it be included in the letter or separately, and if separately, where and what is the file name?    |
| 3.2(U) | May-19     | Content            | Content: Note to Evaluator – must it be included in the letter or separately, and if separately, where and what is the file name? |
| 3.3(U) | May-19     | Application Number | Application Number - Is there a special form or format in which to apply for the application number?                              |
| 3.4(U) | May-19     | Application Number | Application Number - Must the request for an application number be faxed, or can it be e-mailed?                                  |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category           | Question   |
|---------|------------|--------------------|--|
| 3.5(U)  | May-19     | Application Number | Application Number - How long before submission must applicants request the application numbers(s)?      |
| 3.6(U)  | May-19     | Content            | Content - Which working codes should be used for eCTD submissions, i.e. are there special working codes? |
| 3.7(U)  | May-19     | Payments           | Payments - At what stage should the application fees be paid?  |
| 3.11(U) | May-19     | Correspondence     | Correspondence - Will there be a special e-mail address for eCTDs?                                       |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category         | Question  |
|---------|------------|------------------|---|
| 3.12(U) | May-19     | Correspondence   | Correspondence - How will committees'/evaluation units' recommendations be received?  |
| 3.13(U) | May-19     | Content          | Content - If modules 4 and 5 were submitted electronically before, what will happen to the submission – will it be reviewed as usual or must it be resubmitted as eCTD?     |
| 3.15(U) | May-19     | eCTD Submissions | Screening Copy - Industry is happy that they no longer have to submit post-screening copies, but will they get confirmation that a product has passed screening/validation? |
| 3.16(U) | May-19     | Content          | Content - Where must the copy of the Authority's letter with the validation outcome be included?  |
| 3.17(U) | May-19     | eCTD Submissions | eCTD Submissions - How long will CTDs still be accepted after going live with eCTDs?  |
| 3.20(U) | May-19     | CD/DVD           | CD/DVD - Can a submission on the CD-Rom or DVD be compressed?   |
| 3.21(U) | May-19     | Content          | Content - Which documents are mandatory for all submission types.   |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category           | Question  |
|---------|------------|--------------------|---|
| 3.22(U) | May-19     | Content            | Content: Module 1.2.2.4 - What is the expectation of the electronic copy declaration?   |
| 3.23(U) | May-19     | Content            | Content: Signatures - Are scanned signatures allowed in Module 1?   |
| 3.24(U) | May-19     | Content            | Which headers and footers may be included in the eCTD?  |
| 3.25(U) | May-19     | CD/DVD             | CD/DVD - Can I submit my eCTD on a re-writable DVD-RW or CD-RW?   |
| 3.27(U) | May-19     | Application Number | Application Number - What happens if I have a delay in my submission and cannot submit my application within the 4 weeks after issuing of the application number? |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.      | Date Added | Category          | Question  |
|----------|------------|-------------------|---|
| 3.31(U)  | May-19     | Samples           | Must I submit a sample with the screening copy?   |
| 3.32(U)  | May-19     | Payments          | Payments - When should the registration fee be paid?  |
| 3.34 (U) | May-19     | Content           | Content: PI/PIL - How and where should the proposed, annotated and clean versions of the professional information (PI) and patient information leaflet (PIL) be included? |
| 3.37(U)  | May-19     | Content           | Content: Once-off Amendment - Should a request for a once-off amendment be included in the eCTD as a new sequence?  |
| 3.38(U)  | May-19     | Content           | Content: QOS/QIS - Where must the QOS/QIS document be included  |
| 3.39(U)  | May-19     | Clones            | Clones - How should the application for registration of a clone be handled?   |
| 3.40(U)  | Mar-24     | Submission Number | Submission Number - What is a Submission Number?  |

### ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category          | Question   |
|---------|------------|-------------------|--|
| 3.41(U) | Mar-24     | Submission Number | Submission Number - How do I apply for a Submission Number?  |
| 3.42(U) | Mar-24     | Portal            | Portal: Sequence - How do I upload a sequence via the Portal going live on 1 July 2024?  |
| 3.43(U) | Mar-24     | Content           | Content Reuse - Can I reference files already submitted in other Applications or Submission Units?                               |
| 3.44(U) | Mar-24     | Content           | Content Reuse - If a file is required in multiple sections of the CTD structure, should it be published in each of the sections? |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category        | Question   |
|---------|------------|-----------------|--|
| 3.45(U) | Mar-24     | Life Cycle Mngt | If I submit in eCTD, can I later submit using variations or amendments in eSubmission?   |
| 3.46(U) | Mar-24     | Content         | Content: Note to Evaluator - Is it always necessary to provide a General Note to Reviewers?  |
| 3.47(U) | Mar-24     | Structure       | will you provide a word format of the eCTD new structure?  |
| 3.48(U) | Mar-24     | Content         | Content: Notes to Evaluator - When deleting sections/nodes that were moved to other part, do we need to add Notes to Evaluator -1.0 or we just move the docs ? |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.      | Date Added | Category          | Question  |
|----------|------------|-------------------|---|
| 3.49(U)  | Mar-24     | Admin Freeze 2024 | Application Number - I have been issued an Application number that is valid for 8 weeks. We were planning to submit within the Admin Freeze period. How will this switch over now affect the timeline of my submission if we can only submit from 16 April (outside my allowed 8 weeks)? Do I need to contact SAHPRA and formally ask for an extension period or will it be taken into account? |
| 3.50 (U) | Apr-24     | Admin Freeze 2024 | Please advise what will happen to the submissions already made since the 16th with the previous/incorrect version of the trigger file? Will SAHPRA upload these?  |
| 3.51(U)  | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions  | For a submission in response to a PV unit letter/recommendation, would the Submission Type be Pharmacovigilance or a Clinical variation code? And would the Submission Lead then be Pharmacovigilance or Orthodox?  |
| 3.52 (U) | Apr-24     | Admin Freeze 2024 | Can you kindly please advise when submissions made prior to the freeze period (for example on 19 March), will be transferred to the Unit? We received feedback that the submissions has not been transferred to the relevant Unit for review.   |
| 3.53 N)  | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions  | Will it be possible to submit a TOA and Name Change in the same submission if this is indicated in the trigger file?  |



## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.      | Date Added | Category           | Question   |
|----------|------------|--------------------|--|
| 3.54 (U) | Apr-24     | IT                 | We're getting an error message when entering our company details for the ftp site, please confirm that the ftp site has updated the 'host key'   |
| 3.55 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions   | Are we able to proceed with a TOA if there is an open Type 2 variation from the Relinquishing Company?   |
| 3.56 (U) | May-24     | Content            | With SMF numbers what is required, just the number or the site name plus the number?   |
| 3.57 (U) | Apr-24     | Application Number | Please verify that request for application numbers for already registered products may be submitted to <a href="mailto:applicationnumbers@sahpra.org.za">applicationnumbers@sahpra.org.za</a>  |
| 3.58 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions   | Please explain the process for submitting a response to a query received during the freeze period for an application still in eSubmission format. Should we submit a baseline, the initial variation and the response in separate sequences? |
| 3.59 (U) | Apr-24     | Content            | On the trigger file must we use the old format for related sequences or the new one?   |
| 3.60 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions   | Can an applicant upload 0000 and 0001 in one upload with two XML files (one per sequence) or should a baseline be uploaded and we wait for the baseline to be downloaded by SAHPRA and then upload 0001?                                     |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.      | Date Added | Category         | Question  |
|----------|------------|------------------|---|
| 3.61 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | As eSubmission variations are no longer accepted: Can the applicant submit a baseline dossier if variations (esp safety) are pending SAHPRA approval; as we cannot proceed with CMC variations until baseline is done?                |
| 3.62 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | Will there be only one Related sequence number in future, no longer multiple (e.g. new registrations may have 0000 and other sequences if multiple quality recommendations responses are submitted)?                                  |
| 3.65 (U) | Apr-24     | Content          | If CAMS baselines were submitted in 2020 we must just load trigger files for each submission with sequence 0000 and must we notify SAHPRA that the trigger was uploaded?  |
| 3.66 (U) | Apr-24     | Content          | Current ToA: baseline by current acquiring applicant in eCTD format. Can the Module 1 information in the baseline reflect the acquiring applicant's details, showing updated module 1 updated in Module 1.5.2.1 (amendment schedule)? |
| 3.67 (U) | Apr-24     | Content          | There is no Sequence Type option for Response - Biological. Please clarify/assist.  |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.      | Date Added | Category         | Question  |
|----------|------------|------------------|---|
| 3.68 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | Can we consider related sequence as mentioned in the tracking table? For query the related sequence would be the sequence no on which we received query. for variation it should be none?   |
| 3.69 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | How should we submit a response to an initial variation that was submitted in eSubmission format? Should the response be in eCTD format as sequence 0001? What would the related sequence be for this? Would we also require a baseline for this, or can the baseline be submitted as a later sequence? |
| 3.70 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | For a product that is already in eCTD, where sequences already exist on the FTP server, I assume the ToHCR will just be the next sequence, prior to the new ceding and acquiring sequences in October?  |
| 3.71 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | When the applicant submits baseline dossier with a variation, will the sequence still be 0000?  |
| 3.72 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | For baseline requirements, can we only include those sections approved by SAHPRA, since a baseline is approved sections.  |
| 3.73 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | Will applicants need to pay for the resubmission of open variations   |
| 3.74 (U) | Apr-24     | Payments         | When can we anticipate implementation or gazetting of the new proposed SAHPRA fees?   |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.      | Date Added | Category         | Question   |
|----------|------------|------------------|--|
| 3.75 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | Baseline 0000 is only for baseline if its new product submission its 0001 right?   |
| 3.76 (U) | Apr-24     | IT               | Will SAHPRA be issuing any notifications to software vendors or is the availability of the technical files on the website considered sufficient notification of the new specification (3.0)            |
| 3.77 (U) | Apr-24     | IT               | Early on, on upload of xml, the sequence folder and xml disappear. This is not happening anymore, is this behaviour as intended?   |
| 3.78 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | Can a baseline (SN0000) be submitted post submission of an urgent variation (SN0001)   |
| 3.79 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | Regarding Application Numbers and Registration Numbers:<br><br>Old Medicines were never registered - does one submit the baseline and variations with the application number only?                     |
| 3.80 (U) | Apr-24     | ToA              | Does the process still exist to request transfer during the registration process?  |
| 3.81 (U) | Apr-24     | ToA              | For a product that is already in eCTD, where sequences already exist on the FTP server, I assume the ToHCR will just be the next sequence, prior to the new ceding and acquiring sequences in October? |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.      | Date Added | Category         | Question   |
|----------|------------|------------------|--|
| 3.82 (U) | Apr-24     | Content          | For products that were registered many many years ago, it is not always possible to populate Modules 4 and 5. How do applicants then manage the baselines in this instance?  |
| 3.83 (U) | Apr-24     | ToA              | For ToHCR where a duplicate dossier has been submitted and only a duplicate dossier is ceded, what will be the process for submission of the ToHCR for a product deli  |
| 3.84 (U) | Apr-24     | IT               | Pharmacy Schools need to include IT in the curriculum. Should we not have a training session by SAHPRA ie Madelein on this new system.   |
| 3.85 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | If you have not submitted the baseline yet but you have just received a CCR for a product, how to we respond to the CCR?   |
| 3.86 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | Please explain the process for submissions of the following: PITE, SMF submissions, section 36 exemption requests, once-off batch exemptions, CPP requests, psychotropic permit requests. Have the submission processes changed for these submissions - please confirm for each. |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.      | Date Added | Category         | Question   |
|----------|------------|------------------|--|
| 3.87 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | <p>Please advise how to complete the trigger file in the event of a post-reg submission wherein the variations submitted do not apply to every application number included in the submission.</p> <p>For e.g. 1 x Type IA applies to all strengths however 1 x Type IA applies to only one strength.</p> |
| 3.88 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | <p>What happens to esubmission variations submitted a year ago that have not received any SAHPRA feedback? Will we need to resubmit these as well?</p>   |
| 3.89 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | <p>I submitted 3 sequences and haven't received an automated email</p>   |
| 3.90 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | <p>I have submitted multiple sequences and have not received any automated notifications. How long after submission will we receive a failed submission notification? Sequences were submitted on Tuesday and Thursday.</p>  |
| 3.91 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | <p>Can you confirm what the automated message should look like? No validation reports received in the email address included in the trigger file. will this only be received if the sequence fails validation? Currently we do not have a formal "proof of submission"</p>                               |
| 3.92 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | <p>Submission uploaded on Tuesday with no automated email received as yet.</p>   |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.      | Date Added | Category         | Question   |
|----------|------------|------------------|--|
| 3.93 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | Just to confirm, for responses received after the freeze period, for applications still in eSubmission, should we submit a baseline, then the variation and then the response (3 sequences)?   |
| 3.94 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | I have not received any feedback reports for submissions from Tuesday and Wednesday<br>How long after submission will we receive a failed submission notification? Sequences were submitted on Tuesday and Thursday.   |
| 3.95 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | Please clarify for the below: There are two sequences for clinical and PEM variations for the same application. When uploading these to the FTP, do we load a separate folder with the same application number naming convention or do we load one folder and drag both sequence folders and both xml trigger files in one folder.<br>Thank you. |
| 3.96 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | We have clinical variations resubmitted since 2020, resubmitted again last year due to delays from SAHPRA, and now have to resubmit again. Will SAHPRA review and reprioritize these variations as they have been pending for longer.  |
| 3.97 (U) | Apr-24     | IT               | Will the variation status tracker still be used and updated?   |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.       | Date Added | Category           | Question   |
|-----------|------------|--------------------|--|
| 3.98 (U)  | Apr-24     | IT                 | I'm still experiencing issues to access the FTP since the freeze was lifted as it no longer connects to SAHPRA's FTP<br><br>Can SAHPRA-IT assist where we have these issues?   |
| 3.99 (U)  | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions   | If we submitted a variation and received the queries before the freeze period, and now we have to submit the response with the ectd baseline, then can we include the original var and the responses together in seq 0001. How else will we manage this? |
| 3.100 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions   | Will SAHPRA be prioritising variations which have been in the system for long, so that applicant do not have to withdraw submissions before creating eCTD baselines  |
| 3.101 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions   | What is the process for submitting SMFs - do we need to submit in sFTP and send email to SMF Unit? Would this be correct.  |
| 3.102 (U) | Apr-24     | Payments           | Will there be any change in fees?  |
| 3.103 (U) | Apr-24     | Renewals           | Has timelines been defined for SAHPRA recommendation to responses already submitted to SAHPRA?   |
| 3.104 (U) | Apr-24     | Application Number | Once application numbers are generated automatically, for how long will they remain valid? There has always been a period of validity, by which one had to submit the application.   |



## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.       | Date Added | Category | Question   |
|-----------|------------|----------|--|
| 3.105 (U) | Apr-24     | Content  | For the applicant name are we allowed to include brackets eg XXXX (Pty) Ltd.<br>Furthermore, we have not received any notifications if our uploads with the trigger files from 16th to 18th April have been successful or not. |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.    | Date Added | Category           | Answer  |
|--------|------------|--------------------|---|
| 3.1(U) | May-19     | Content            | A Tracking Table is only required for a Baseline Submission, included as part of the Letter of Application, summarising previous activities with key dates.   |
| 3.2(U) | May-19     | Content            | The note to Evaluator has a specific subnode allocated.<br>The purpose of the Note to Evaluator is to facilitate efficient review of the Sequence by the evaluator. If there are specificities concerning the eCTD Submission about which the evaluator(s) should be informed, it is highly recommended to provide this information in a structured document – please refer to SAHPRA eCTD specification 3.0  |
| 3.3(U) | May-19     | Application Number | <i>Current practise until the Portal goes live in July 2024:</i> No, the applicant has to send a written request on the official company letterhead to the Authority for the attention of Operations & Administration with details of the application(s) to be submitted. There is planned changes to this process when the Portal goes live in July 2024. Please have a look-out for any communication in this regard.<br>The proposed proprietary names should be indicated.<br>The type of data to be submitted in support of safety and efficacy should also be indicated.<br><i>From 1 July 2024</i> the portal will assign the application number for the applicants as described in Q&A 3.4. |
| 3.4(U) | May-19     | Application Number | <i>Current practise until the Portal goes live in July 2024:</i> A letter on a company letterhead with an original signature is required. Currently, the request for an application number is mailed to a dedicated email address: <a href="mailto:applicationnumbers@sahpra.org.za">applicationnumbers@sahpra.org.za</a> .<br><i>On the portal from 1 July:</i> Once you have an login ID, you can login to the portal as your company. You will see a list of any previously submitted applications. Select New Application and fill in the information. An Application Number will be assigned and displayed.  |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category           | Answer  |
|---------|------------|--------------------|---|
| 3.5(U)  | May-19     | Application Number | <p>Current practise until the Portal goes live in July 2024: Eight weeks. Allow four weeks for allocation of numbers.</p> <p>From 1 July onwards: The portal will provide an Application Number instantaneously. Please note that the Application ID will not be the same as the Application Number, however, until the portal goes live in July the Application Number can be used as the Application ID for eCTD Application Folder Naming Convention.</p> <p><b>For legacy Applications, please continue to use the previous Application Folder name as Application ID and Application Folder for all future Sequences.</b></p> <p>It is important to use the same Application Folder for all future Sequences of the Application.</p> |
| 3.6(U)  | May-19     | Content            | <p>The working codes have been removed from the general guidelines. As the envelope will indicate to which unit the evaluation is assigned to, working codes are not required. Please refer to the submission matrix on the eCTD website for submission types, which should be included as part of the trigger file.</p>  |
| 3.7(U)  | May-19     | Payments           | <p>The relevant fees must be paid when the initial submission is submitted and proof of payment included in 1.2.2.1. The fees payable will be indicated in the letter that will be sent with the application number/s. Please refer to payment guideline. The Proof of Payment should be included as part of your submission. There is planned changes to this process when the Portal goes live in July 2024. Please have a look-out for any communication in this regard.</p>   |
| 3.11(U) | May-19     | Correspondence     | <p>Yes, it is <a href="mailto:ectd@sahpra.org.za">ectd@sahpra.org.za</a> and is also provided on the eCTD website. This address is intended only for eCTD-related queries and not for submission of eCTD or other documents.</p>  |

### ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category         | Answer   |
|---------|------------|------------------|--|
| 3.12(U) | May-19     | Correspondence   | Committees' recommendations are coordinated in the eCTD office in HPA. The recommendations will be e-mailed to the applicant when all the relevant committee's/evaluation unit's recommendations have been received, to allow for submission of a response with one timeline and in one sequence. Recommendations should not be sent to the applicant directly from an evaluation unit. The intention is that the correspondence will be received via the Portal, however, current practise still applies until further communication. |
| 3.13(U) | May-19     | Content          | From 01 April 2016 the eCTD format is the only electronic format accepted for new applications for registration of New Chemical Entities and from 01 January 2017 for generics. Therefore the NeeS format previously accepted for Modules 4 and 5 will no longer be accepted for the file copy.<br>Module 1-5 will be required for a baseline dossier for all applications that are currently not in eCTD format.  |
| 3.15(U) | May-19     | eCTD Submissions | The Applicant will be notified of the validation results for every eCTD Sequence using the contact details provided in the envelope (the trigger file (pre July 2024) or the portal (post July 2024)) within minutes on upload of submission.  |
| 3.16(U) | May-19     | Content          | Mod 1.0.4  |
| 3.17(U) | May-19     | eCTD Submissions | eCTD format is the only accepted format for Orthodox and Biological applications since 1 April 2022. Further discussions on eSubmission for Veterinary, CAMs, VAMF, APIMF, SMF, PMF will be discussed in future.   |
| 3.20(U) | May-19     | CD/DVD           | No longer applicable as all submissions should be submitted via the sFTP portal, or the new Portal going live on 1 July 2024   |
| 3.21(U) | May-19     | Content          | Please refer to the document matrix indicating required documents for individual submission types.   |

### ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category           | Answer  |
|---------|------------|--------------------|---|
| 3.22(U) | May-19     | Content            | This is still required for eCTD Specification 3.0, however not for submission types Withdrawal and Cancellation. For more information please refer to the Guidance for the Submission of Regulatory Information in eCTD format. A new template pertaining to this section will be provided soonest. Please continue with current practise until communication in this regard has been sent out.   |
| 3.23(U) | May-19     | Content            | Electronic signatures will be crucial, particularly for authentication of electronic Submissions and documents. We are currently accepting: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Digital signatures. Please see the Electronic Signature Guidelines Appendices.</li> <li>•Scanned signatures where the documents make up part of the checksum of an eCTD Sequence.</li> <li>•Scanned documents with wet signatures where the document has then been OCRed.</li> </ul> Please note that all documents uploaded via the portal will be considered as signed and approved by the Applicant. It is therefore very important that Applicants secure their login to the Portal and ensure that only authorised personnel have access to upload and submit sequences. |
| 3.24(U) | May-19     | Content            | In general, the requirements as described in the ICH eCTD guidance are sufficient but Headers and Footers <b>are not</b> required in electronic submissions since the electronic information provides the necessary information to identify the content. Applicants should just take care that Header and Footers are not misleading or confusing. Reusing content becomes difficult when specific sequence headers and footers are added and is therefore <b>not recommended</b> for eCTD submission.  |
| 3.25(U) | May-19     | CD/DVD             | No, to be submitted via the Portal or sFTP (up to 1 July 2024)  |
| 3.27(U) | May-19     | Application Number | N/A as the portal will provide the Application Number   |

### ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.      | Date Added | Category          | Answer   |
|----------|------------|-------------------|--|
| 3.31(U)  | May-19     | Samples           | General information guideline provides guidance on this.   |
| 3.32(U)  | May-19     | Payments          | The registration fee is payable on receipt of notification of registration.  |
| 3.34 (U) | May-19     | Content           | Proposed (Pre-reg), Annotated, Approved and Clean PI/PIL are all now included in Mod 1.3. Mod 1.5.5 is retired in the eCTD Specification 3.0.  |
| 3.37(U)  | May-19     | Content           | At this stage it should be handled outside of the eCTD, as it is supposed to be a once-off occurrence only and should not affect the lifecycle of any of the approved documents in the eCTD. Please continue with current practise and erefer to relevant guidelines. Future changes will be communicated in due course. |
| 3.38(U)  | May-19     | Content           | This document should be included in Module 3.2.R.8 - Other, as a separate document with the leaf title QOS vxxx / QIS vxxx, as it will have its own life cycle. Both the source and the PDF documents should be included here as per Specification 3.0   |
| 3.39(U)  | May-19     | Clones            | Please refer to the current guideline.   |
| 3.40(U)  | Mar-24     | Submission Number | The Submission Number(s) identify regulatory activities. Once the Product Application is approved, variations and/or amendments will take place. Each sequence must be associated with a submission. This is done via the Submission number and/or the Related Sequence Number.  |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category          | Answer   |
|---------|------------|-------------------|--|
| 3.41(U) | Mar-24     | Submission Number | <p>Submissions up to 1 July: Please refer to the Creation of XML trigger file instructions for creating a Submission Number.</p> <p>From 1 July 2024: Log in to the Portal using your login ID.</p> <p>For a new application, a Submission Number will be automatically assigned.</p> <p>For an existing application, select the Application and click on New Submission and fill in the information.</p> <p>A Submission Number will be assigned and displayed.</p> <p>Please remember for responses the Submission Number as assigned for evaluated sequence, should be used when uploading onto the portal.</p> |
| 3.42(U) | Mar-24     | Portal            | <p>Log in to the Portal using your login ID. Select an Application and then select the submission. Click the upload option.</p>  |
| 3.43(U) | Mar-24     | Content           | <p>Yes and this is encouraged. Reusing content already submitted earlier helps reduce the amount that must be evaluated or at least allows an accelerated evaluation.</p> <p>Content reuse is allowed within the same Submission Unit, between Submission Units of the same Application and between Submission Units of different Applications.</p> <p>See the SAHPRA eCTD Specifications for more information on how content reuse should be implemented.</p>   |
| 3.44(U) | Mar-24     | Content           | <p>No, the file should only be published once and then referenced in each of the locations of the eCTD backbone. Cases of content reuse should be addressed in the General Note to Evaluator and you should indicate at which referenced location the document was physically published. See the SAHPRA eCTD Specifications for more information on how content reuse should be implemented.</p>   |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.     | Date Added | Category        | Answer   |
|---------|------------|-----------------|--|
| 3.45(U) | Mar-24     | Life Cycle Mngt | <p>Once eCTD, Always eCTD. eCTD has a defined lifecycle management that allows the content to be filtered and viewed so that the evaluator (and the applicant) can see:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Current View of the application - all content from the past and current sequence are shown, however, replaced or deleted content is not shown</li> <li>2) Sequence View - only the content provided in the selected sequence is shown</li> <li>3) Submission View - only the content from Sequences associated with a selected Submission is shown</li> <li>4) Approved View - only the content associated with Submissions tagged as Approved is shown</li> </ol> <p>The eSubmission lacks the XML infrastructure to be able to build the above views and are therefore, over time makes the evaluation less efficient. eSubmissions are based on the Sequence View only so the evaluator has to manually go back to piece together an overall picture which is time consuming and prone to mistakes.</p> |
| 3.46(U) | Mar-24     | Content         | <p>It is always good practice to submit a General Note to Evaluators, especially in every "Initial" Sequence of a Submission. Assume that the evaluator that will be evaluating the Sequence is not the same as the evaluator that evaluated previous Sequences. The Note to Evaluators is your direct dialog to the Evaluator and is a good opportunity to address questions that you may be able to predict enabling you to reduce the number of iterations required for the evaluation.</p>   |
| 3.47(U) | Mar-24     | Structure       | <p>Please refer to Guideline 2.21 for changes in the eCTD structure.</p>   |
| 3.48(U) | Mar-24     | Content         | <p>Adding a reference/notes to the Notes to Evaluator would be a good idea and helpful for the evaluator.</p>  |



### ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.      | Date Added | Category          | Answer   |
|----------|------------|-------------------|--|
| 3.49(U)  | Mar-24     | Admin Freeze 2024 | No extension request required. Please submit the application on the 16th of April 2024.  |
| 3.50 (U) | Apr-24     | Admin Freeze 2024 | They were corrected internally. Unless there were severe errors/blanks they were processed and uploaded on the system.                   |
| 3.51(U)  | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions  | Both will be Pharmacovigilance.  |
| 3.52 (U) | Apr-24     | Admin Freeze 2024 | If you have received communication from SAHPRA that your submission was not successful, please re-upload and submit with a trigger file. |
| 3.53 N)  | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions  | No. Please submit as separate sequences as separate units evaluate these.  |

### ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.      | Date Added | Category           | Answer  |
|----------|------------|--------------------|---|
| 3.54 (U) | Apr-24     | IT                 | Industry to follow the FTP guide.   |
| 3.55 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions   | No. Please withdraw the Type II, then submit the ToA sequence. This is only applicable from 1st October.                          |
| 3.56 (U) | May-24     | Content            | Just SMF number.  |
| 3.57 (U) | Apr-24     | Application Number | Answered in the Q&A Document.   |
| 3.58 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions   | Answered in the Q&A Document.   |
| 3.59 (U) | Apr-24     | Content            | Please use the new format - do not use "none"   |
| 3.60 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions   | Both can be uploaded in one file - so you will have both sequences in the root folder with their trigger files in the root folder |

### ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.      | Date Added | Category         | Answer  |
|----------|------------|------------------|---|
| 3.61 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | Answered in the Q&A Document.   |
| 3.62 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | For pre-reg., yes you will have a related sequence number. For post-reg., you will have one related sequence number per variation grouping of sequences, i.e., variation and all responses have the related sequence of the variation submitted |
| 3.65 (U) | Apr-24     | Content          | No notification is necessary, it will be automatically discovered when the trigger file is provided. The baseline can be provided in eCTD or eSubmission.   |
| 3.66 (U) | Apr-24     | Content          | The baseline (submitted by the acquiring applicant) must reflect the acquiring applicant's details.   |
| 3.67 (U) | Apr-24     | Content          | Answered in the Q&A Document  |

### ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.      | Date Added | Category         | Answer  |
|----------|------------|------------------|---|
| 3.68 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | Do not use "none".<br>The new related sequence number is in line with most other eCTD specifications. It is adopted so that all of the sequences related to a submission can be grouped with a common attribute.  |
| 3.69 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | Answered in the Q&A Document  |
| 3.70 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | SAHPRA will conduct a webinar relating to this.<br>How this is done in your solution will need to be communicated to you by your software vendor. The new sequences will just need to be in 3.0. No past sequences. whether in 2.1 or 1.0, must be resubmitted. |
| 3.71 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | Baseline will be 0000 and variation will be 0001  |
| 3.72 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | Yes   |
| 3.73 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | No.   |
| 3.74 (U) | Apr-24     | Payments         | Not related to RIMS   |

### ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.      | Date Added | Category         | Answer   |
|----------|------------|------------------|--|
| 3.75 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | For specification 3.0, yes.  |
| 3.76 (U) | Apr-24     | IT               | Already available on the SAHPRA website  |
| 3.77 (U) | Apr-24     | IT               | Answered in the Q&A Document   |
| 3.78 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | No.  |
| 3.79 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | The folder name should be the application number only.   |
| 3.80 (U) | Apr-24     | ToA              | The request for the TOA with motivation should be sent to the Inspectorate Unit for consideration. |
| 3.81 (U) | Apr-24     | ToA              | As per specification 3.0, yes. Webinars on TOAs will be conducted closer to the time               |

### ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.      | Date Added | Category         | Answer  |
|----------|------------|------------------|---|
| 3.82 (U) | Apr-24     | Content          | To be addressed in the next RIMS update.  |
| 3.83 (U) | Apr-24     | ToA              | The acquiring applicant must submit a baseline and the TOA in sequence 0001. The application number of the duplicate must be used as the unique identifier. |
| 3.84 (U) | Apr-24     | IT               | Trigger file training completed.  |
| 3.85 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | Submit the eCTD baseline (0000), the variation in sequence 0001 and the response to the query for sequence 0001, in sequence 0002.                          |
| 3.86 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | This will be communicated and guidelines will be published  |

### ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.      | Date Added | Category         | Answer  |
|----------|------------|------------------|---|
| 3.87 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | Applicants should not combine them. The cover letter would indicate what strength it relates to.                      |
| 3.88 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | No, they are in the process of evaluation. Only when a response is due then it should be submitted in eCTD            |
| 3.89 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | They were likely not correctly filled in or they have not yet been processed.   |
| 3.90 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | They were likely not correctly filled in or they have not yet been processed.   |
| 3.91 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | The validation report is only provided for failed submissions. It is not provided for sequences that pass validation. |
| 3.92 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | They were likely not correctly filled in or they have not yet been processed.   |

### ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.      | Date Added | Category         | Answer  |
|----------|------------|------------------|---|
| 3.93 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | Yes.  |
| 3.94 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | They were likely not correctly filled in or they have not yet been processed.   |
| 3.95 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | You should submit one sequence, wait for confirmation that it has passed validation and then submit the other one. If you submit them both at the same time and the first sequence fails the validation, both sequences would have to be resubmitted. |
| 3.96 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions | If it was already submitted - you do not need to resubmit.  |
| 3.97 (U) | Apr-24     | IT               | Yes.  |



### ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.       | Date Added | Category           | Answer  |
|-----------|------------|--------------------|---|
| 3.98 (U)  | Apr-24     | IT                 | This was caused by an issue on the SAHPRA firewall, it has since been resolved. |
| 3.99 (U)  | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions   | Applicants should consult SAHPRA.   |
| 3.100 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions   | Only if you have a response do you convert to baselines                         |
| 3.101 (U) | Apr-24     | eCTD Submissions   | Current process still applies, changes to process will be communicated          |
| 3.102 (U) | Apr-24     | Payments           | The fees stay as per fees regulations   |
| 3.103 (U) | Apr-24     | Renewals           | Not related to RIMS   |
| 3.104 (U) | Apr-24     | Application Number | This will probably not matter, as they will come in into priority groups.       |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 3.0 eCTD Q&A

| No.       | Date Added | Category | Answer                         |
|-----------|------------|----------|--------------------------------|
| 3.105 (U) | Apr-24     | Content  | Yes, you can use the brackets. |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 4.0 Archived

| No.  | Date Added | Category        | Question   |
|------|------------|-----------------|--|
| 2.7  | May-19     | Folders & Files | Folders & Files: Node extensions - It is important to check that software vendors can support node extensions as described for 3.2.R |
| 2.9  | May-19     | Validation      | Validation - Must the Extedo validator tool be used?   |
| 2.10 | May-19     | Folders & Files | Folders & Files: Naming convention - Was the naming convention changed in line with ICH, e.g. API changed to Drug Substance?         |
| 2.19 | May-19     | Bookmarks       | Bookmarks - When should bookmarks be included?   |
| 2.22 | May-19     | Publishing      | Publishing - What does it mean when the "export" of the submission is referred to?   |
| 2.23 | May-19     | Validation      | Validation - Are hyperlinks checked during validation of the submission?   |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 4.0 Archived

| No.     | Date Added | Category           | Question   |
|---------|------------|--------------------|--|
| 2.24    | May-19     | Folders & Files    | References - Should published references which are included in Modules 4.3 and 5.4 be text searchable? |
| 3.8     | May-19     | Correspondence     | Correspondence - If questions are asked, what is the time frame to get answers?                        |
| 3.9(U)  | May-19     | CD/DVD             | CD/DVD - How many copies of the CD/DVD must be submitted?  |
| 3.10(U) | May-19     | CD/DVD             | CD/DVD - How must the CD/DVD be submitted?   |
| 3.14    | May-19     | eCTD Submissions   | Screening copy - Is a screening copy required as for paper CTD submissions                             |
| 3.18    | May-19     | eCTD Submissions   | eCTD Submissions - Will eCTDs go into a faster queue?  |
| 3.19    | May-19     | Software Solutions | Software Solutions - Will SAHPRA provide a list of preferred software vendors?                         |

**ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 4.0 Archived**

| No.  | Date Added | Category           | Question   |
|------|------------|--------------------|--|
| 3.26 | May-19     | Application Number | Application Number - Which application number do I have to fill in the envelope, when I file a line extension?   |
| 3.28 | May-19     | Application Number | Application Number - Must I include the registration number in the envelope when I submit amendments for a registered product?   |
| 3.29 | May-19     | Envelope           | Envelope: Application Number - In which format should application numbers be included in the envelope when the eCTD pertains to more than one product or product strength, i.e. 470001/2, or 470001, 470002 or as separate elements? |
| 3.30 | May-19     | Envelope           | Envelope: Proprietary Name - In which format should proprietary names be included in the envelope when the eCTD pertains to more than one product or product strength?   |
| 3.33 | May-19     | Content            | Content - Where must I submit the comparability report for an application for registration of a biosimilar?  |
| 3.35 | May-19     | Content            | Content: PSUR - Where must a PSUR be submitted?  |
| 3.36 | May-19     | Content            | Content - Should a request for a section 36 exemption be submitted in the eCTD as a new sequence?  |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 4.0 Archived

| No.  | Date Added | Category        | Answer  |
|------|------------|-----------------|---|
| 2.7  | May-19     | Folders & Files | Noted.  |
| 2.9  | May-19     | Validation      | Any validator tool may be used that has been proven to comply with the SAHPRA validation criteria.  |
| 2.10 | May-19     | Folders & Files | The ICH specification and DTD are unchanged for South Africa in terms of technical requirements. Local guidelines refer to content.   |
| 2.19 | May-19     | Bookmarks       | Provide bookmarks for documents exceeding 5 (five) pages that contain multiple headings/sections, tables, figures in all modules. Provide enough bookmarks for easy navigation in the document. For documents with a ToC, bookmarks for each item listed in the ToC should be provided including all tables, figures, publications, other references and appendices. Refer to the Guidance for submission of regulatory information in eCTD format. |
| 2.22 | May-19     | Publishing      | This is equivalent to "create" or "publish" the eCTD.   |
| 2.23 | May-19     | Validation      | Yes, refer to the current SA eCTD validation criteria for specific information.   |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 4.0 Archived

| No.     | Date Added | Category           | Answer  |
|---------|------------|--------------------|---|
| 2.24    | May-19     | Folders & Files    | If these references are used to support the indications claimed for the application, and are cross-referenced in the package insert, they would have to be text searchable (OCR scanned). The EMA guidance for industry on providing regulatory information in electronic format, version 4.0 of April 2016 , includes a very useful guidance on text searchable documents in Annex 2, which could be used at this stage. |
| 3.8     | May-19     | Correspondence     | It will depend on whether the question relates to technical or business aspects.  |
| 3.9(U)  | May-19     | CD/DVD             | This is no longer applicable  |
| 3.10(U) | May-19     | CD/DVD             | This is no longer to practise to submit CD/DVD's. Please contact SAHPRA if the need arises to submit in this format.  |
| 3.14    | May-19     | eCTD Submissions   | No, there is no separate screening submission for eCTD. Screening (validation) and application fees are paid with the initial submission. Compliance with all screening/validation requirements results in the submission being ready for evaluation without “post-screening” copies being submitted as for paper submissions.  |
| 3.18    | May-19     | eCTD Submissions   | Due to more efficient processes, the entire registration process should be quicker for eCTDs.   |
| 3.19    | May-19     | Software Solutions | No, applicants are free to choose any software vendor, provided that the eCTDs will comply with the prescribed requirements.  |

### ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 4.0 Archived

| No.  | Date Added | Category           | Answer  |
|------|------------|--------------------|---|
| 3.26 | May-19     | Application Number | All application numbers assigned to the current submission have to be listed in the envelope. In the letter of application a clear reference has to be made to which originally issued application number this line extension belongs. The first issued application number for a product line is the identifier for the eCTD application. |
| 3.28 | May-19     | Application Number | No, the application number must still be used.  |
| 3.29 | May-19     | Envelope           | Application numbers must be included as separate elements, e.g.<br>470001<br>470002   |
| 3.30 | May-19     | Envelope           | Proprietary names must be included as separate elements, e.g.<br>Name 10 mg<br>Name 20 mg   |
| 3.33 | May-19     | Content            | At this stage this report should be submitted in Module 3.2 R.8<br>Other  |
| 3.35 | May-19     | Content            | This should be submitted in module 5.3.6  |
| 3.36 | May-19     | Content            | This will depend on the type of exemption applied for (once-off or permanent), and will be handled on a case-by case basis. It should therefore be discussed with the eCTD office.  |



## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 5.0 Terminology

| Term                               | Definition   |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Annotated Version                  | Product Information - Annotated Versions are provided when changes are made to files previously provided. The annotated file shows mark-ups highlighting the changes so that evaluators can see what has been changed within the document.   |
| Baseline                           | A Sequence providing information already submitted in another format. Content submitted in a Baseline Sequence beyond the administrative content should be identical to the content already submitted. No new content should be introduced in a Baseline Sequence.   |
| Bookmarks (PDF)                    | An electronic table of contents stored within the PDF that allows navigation through the file content to find specific information quickly.  |
| Clean Version                      | Product Information - Clean Versions are provided when changes are made to files previously provided. The clean file shows how the file will look if approved without any mark-ups to distract from the finished version.  |
| Content Reuse                      | Only allowed in eCTD applications, a single file is referenced at multiple locations in application. It is possible to reuse a file from the same sequence, from an earlier sequence of the same application, or from a sequence of another application. Content Reuse enables a "fast track" of the evaluation of certain parts because the content is not being resubmitted, the content that has already been evaluated at another location is guaranteed the same. |
| Electronic Declaration Document    | A statement indicating that the electronic files with signatures are representative of actual signed documents and that any documents that have been provided multiple times (in eSubs) are identical in content.  |
| Envelope                           | Administrative information provided in electronic form so that it can be read automatically by a machine enabling automation.  |
| File Name (eSub)                   | Becomes the heading displayed to the evaluator for eCTD submissions. While defined, each file name has both a core and a variable component so that more descriptive information can be provided.  |
| Hyperlink Relative Path            | A hyperlink whose properties allow it to function even if it is moved and stored in another location. If inter-document hyperlinks are created, then both files are moved and their relative location to each other is not altered e.g., the folder is moved to another location.  |
| Hyperlink, Inter-document          | hyperlinks where the source and target are located in different documents.   |
| Hyperlink, Intra-document          | Hyperlinks where the source and target are both located in the same document.  |
| Initial Sequence of an Application | The very first sequence of an application, the first package of information provided.  |
| Leaf Title (eCTD)                  | The heading displayed to the evaluator for eCTD submissions which describes the content of the electronic file provided.   |
| MD5 Checksum                       | A security fingerprint of the file. Each file has a unique identifier and if any content is changed in the document, the fingerprint is changed.   |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 5.0 Terminology

| Term        | Definition   |
|-------------|--|
| Style Sheet | Used together with XML to define font and style of content displayed.  |
| XML         | An electronic language (eXtensible Markup Language) that enables a customisable display of documents with the use of browsers (internet). Allows a precise definition of the Common Technical Document and ensures a consistent look and feel for all applications recieved. |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 5.0 Terminology

| Term                               | Abbreviation/Acronym |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Annotated Version                  | Act                  |
| Baseline                           | API                  |
| Bookmarks (PDF)                    | CTD                  |
| Clean Version                      | DTD                  |
| Content Reuse                      | eCTD                 |
| Electroni Declaration Document     | ICH                  |
| Envelope                           | PEM                  |
| File Name (eSub)                   | PI                   |
| Hyperlink Relative Path            | PIL                  |
| Hyperlink, Inter-document          | PSUR                 |
| Hyperlink, Intra-document          | Q&A                  |
| Initial Sequence of an Application | QIS                  |
| Leaf Title (eCTD)                  | QOS                  |
| MD5 Checksum                       | SAHPRA               |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 5.0 Terminology

| Term        | Abbreviation/Acronym |
|-------------|----------------------|
| Style Sheet | Swissmedic           |
| XML         | ZA/SA                |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 5.0 Terminology

| Term                               | Definition  |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Annotated Version                  | The Medicines and Related Substances Act, 1965 (Act 101 of 1965) as amended   |
| Baseline                           | Active Pharmaceutical Ingredient  |
| Bookmarks (PDF)                    | Common Technical Document   |
| Clean Version                      | Document Type Definition  |
| Content Reuse                      | electronic Common Technical Document  |
| Electroni Declaration Document     | International Council for Harmonisation (of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use) |
| Envelope                           | Pharmaceutical Evaluation Management  |
| File Name (eSub)                   | Professional Information  |
| Hyperlink Relative Path            | Patient Information Leaflet   |
| Hyperlink, Inter-document          | Periodic Safety Update Report   |
| Hyperlink, Intra-document          | Question and Answer documents   |
| Initial Sequence of an Application | Quality Information Summary   |
| Leaf Title (eCTD)                  | Quality Overall Summary   |
| MD5 Checksum                       | South African Health Products Regulatory Authority  |

## ECOWAS-WAHO Electronic Applications 5.0 Terminology

| Term        | Definition                            |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| Style Sheet | Swiss Agency for Therapeutic Products |
| XML         | South Africa                          |